P 133

App. F. IX-4

1929. (Emigrant)

File No. 222-29

Serial Nos. 1-7

Previous References
Emig 3245 25-3 9
" 341-26-5 6
" 804-18 1 2
" 169 23-3-4
" 694 15-1-2
Later References
Emig 178-30-1-2
53-32-1-4
146-30 551-7
229-34 1-2
316-35-(1-4)
214-40-1-8

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for 1930

SUBJECT.

Levy of contribution from the Emigrant institutions with annual income of Rs 1 and for the Emigrant Establishment Funds.

G.O. No. 1667 dated 16/7/30

To the Emig. Comr - Compton

Questions

1111

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1929.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 222 / 1929

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the Muzrai Establishment Fund

FROM

The D. C.

No. 1028 Dated 18. 1. 1930 Received 20-1-1930

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
1126	122	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests Sanction of Govt (1) for the Continuance of the levy of Contribution from the General Muz. institns for the Muz. Estt Funds permanently subject to Revision by Govt, whenever in 5 years, and (2) for levying percentage Contribution for the Establishment Funds from the Genl. Muz Funds, and Chattram Savings Funds:

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The General Govt orders Exh. A in the D. C's Comm. under advertence, are flagged 43/ Orders are solicited on the D. C's recommendation

Submitted
RV MSK
5. X. 1930.

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 22 of 192 8

Serial No.

4) This is paid to entail an increase of receipt by Rs 2247 per annum as compared with the receipts for the previous triennium amounts Rs 25502 per annum in all - The reason for this is given in para 8. of the letter.

5. The net 8% charges are expected to come to Rs 22306. as in para 3. Against this there will be only receipts of Rs 22145. exclusive of 5% Rent which already referred to. - ~~The~~ leaving out a reserve at 5% amounting to Rs 1110, the Navy Govt has calculated the amount available for expenditure as Rs 21035. Hence he strongly recommends the withdrawal of Rs 24000. ~~and~~ previous balance - This ~~has~~ perhaps to be approved in principle with a view to the better management of the institutions and to the receipt of income thereby. In regard to means the Rc proposes to take up the ~~Rs~~ Rs in the training of ~~the~~ ~~Rs being one of the reasons~~.

6) with a view to the above & other reasons, the Rc wants big of centage contribution is in the

Note - Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 22 of 192 8

Serial No.

4) This is said to entail an decrease of receipt by Rs 2247 per annum as compared with the receipts for the previous triennium amounting Rs 25502 per annum in all - The reason for this is given in para 8. of the letter

5. The net S/F charges are expected to come to Rs 22306. as in para 3. Against this there will be only receipt of Rs 22145. reckoning of 5% grant in aid already agreed to. - ~~The~~ leaving out a reserve at 5% amounting to Rs 1110, the Mag C— has calculated the amount available for expenditure as Rs 21035. Hence he strongly recommends the ~~Rs~~ Rs 21000. ~~Local~~ previous balance - This ~~may~~ perhaps to be approved in view to better management of the institutions and to the receipt of income thereby. In regard of many the ~~Re~~ questions to be taken up the ~~Mag~~ Mag has taken as ~~and to the~~ ~~the~~ ~~being~~ ~~one~~ ~~of the~~ ~~reasons~~

6) with a view to the above & other reasons, the ~~Re~~ wants levy of centage contributions in the rate

Note - Contn. Sheet

WD-066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Extract from I.S.F. members minute in File 157-29
re levy from Sri Sankareswara Swami Temple Navy

I agree. There is one point which may be considered at the next revision of the Rules regarding the Establishment Fund. I refer to the desirability of laying ~~ex~~ down a maximum annual limit for the contribution to be paid by an institution. I am not sure if it is reasonable to levy the contribution in direct proportion to the incomes of the institutions without reference to the services which the establishment maintained at the expense of the Fund renders to them. It is however necessary to see how the imposition of any such limit would affect the resources of the Fund.

Intd. M.N.K.

6-2-1930

1124

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 222 of 192 9.

Serial No.

from the fund the 75 Chatham Savings Fund [illegible] and [illegible] Mr. wants sanction for the keeping [illegible] from the deceased Mr. Abdulhussein for the fund on the principle enunciated by him personally subject to revision by him once in 5 years.

1000 6/4

7 The circumstances under which a key is asked for from Chatham Savings are not clear.

Please.

7/8 6/4/30

8 Please see also 9 first member on the file relating to the Municipal request to be supplied the keys of Mallick. must find out whether 9 the proposals may be re-examined in the light of these instructions.

8/4/30

10 Sub'

Copy of the order of first member is to kept in the file as directed may a reference be made to the note to the note to pre-examine the whole question in the

Note -Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 222 of 1929.

Serial No.

11455 28/2

light of the above order and requirement his proposals in ~~regard to the~~ ~~enhancements~~ ~~laid down~~?

LWS 28/2 (Sd) 28/2

11) Yes 28/2

Draft 12 as approved for approval or orders.

LWS 1/3 (Sd) 28/3

11392 6/3

13. Done 18/3/30 15/3

11417 6.3.30.

LWS 1.3

to.

2. The Mess Comm
No 1896 & 18.3.30
Requests him to consider the pool viz. the levy of the contributions in direct proportion to the income of the individuals without reference to the services which the establishment maintained from the fund renders to them. and submit his opinion

SWSA (W.) 27/2.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

VD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mus. File No. 222 of 1929

Serial No. 3

Letter No: C 1028/29-30 dt 12.4.30
From the Mus: Commr

Explains from the reasons stated by him the present of Contribution is not capable of any further reduction and that the fixing of a maximum does not seem to be feasible.

111° Subd for orders with reference to Fin: Secy's orders in paras 8 & 9 and note in para 2 ante and Members' minute dated 6.2.30 an extract of which is kept in this file.

[illegible]
2/4

JHL

2/5
2874

8681
2874

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz File No. 222 of 1929.

Serial No.

P & D

CONTINUANCE OF THE SCHEME OF MUZRAI ESTABLISHMENT FUND.

15) I beg to offer the following remarks on the several points raised in the Muzrai Commissioner's 2 letters and some others requiring orders:-

Para 1 of Muz.Commissioner's letter of 18th January 1930:-

16) There is only one Establishment Fund for the whole State. The contributions recoverable from the Institutions are required to be creidted in the accounts of the respective treauries directly to the head' Muzrai Establishment Fund'. The proposal made by the Muzrai Commissioner to remit them by transfer receipts was not approved as it involved unnecessary increase of work. If as observed by him, the contributions recovered from the Institutions are credited to a separate head other than the 'Muzrai Establishment Fund', the Comptroller will be requested to make the necessary adjustments and issue clear instructions to the treasuries for following the directions contained in the G.O. of 31st January 1928.

17) Para 2:- The Muzrai Commissioner states that the 'Fund' will have a net balance of Rs 24,464-11-1 on 30-6-1929 after certain adjustments mentioned by him are effected in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

in consultation with the Comptroller. The net closing balance may be got verfied by the Audit Office.

18) Para 3:- The total demand for the 3 years, 1926-27 to 1928-29 at the sanctioned (a) rate of contribution was Rs 76,506/- against which the total collection was Rs 73,357-7-0. It is reported that the balance due as per account is Rs 3,148-9-0. This is stated to be not recoverable as the Institutions by which the contributions are payable are not able to meet even their ordinary charges. I do not think that the Muzrai Commissioner's view can be accepted.

(b) Sri Srikanteswarswami Temple at Nanjangud seems to be one of the Institutions from which the prescribed contribution has not been recoverable.

(c) The question whether Institutions having debit balances should or should not be made liable to pay the prescribed contributions to the 'Establishment Fund' was fully considered by Government and orders were passed granting no exemption to any Institution on this ground.- Vide para 4 (c) of G.O. of 12th October 1927 and para 2 of G.O. of 31st January 1928.

Hay D. Z

The Muzrai Commissioner may therefore

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mrg File No. 222 of 1929.

Serial No.

therefore be requested to recover the prescribed contributions from the several Institutions which have now defaulted, necessary action being separately taken for wiping out their debit balances.

19) Para 4:- The proposal to invest the surplus of the 'Fund' (which will be about Rs 27,000/- including the recoverable balances) in the Savings Bank may be approved. It may be kept under the 5 percent Fixed Deposit arrangement.

20) Paras 5 & 6:- The contributions now current were fixed for a period of 3 years ending 1928-29 and the revision now made by the Muzrai Commissioner on the basis of the contributions fixed in the sanctioned scales of income and expenditure of each Institution or in their absence on the average income for the 3 preceding years is in order. But the exemption proposed by him in favour of Institutions which have debit balances cannot be accepted. As I have stated above, this point has been fully examined in the past and is not open for reconsideration.

The annual resources of the Fund leave no large surplus over the present standard of expenditure on establishment and it would not be right to grant such exemptions and attempt

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

attempt to find other means of improving the resources of the Fund, as the Muzrai Commissioner proposes to do. All the Muzrai Institutions whose average annual income is not below Rs 100/- should be charged with the percentage levy at 5 or 3 percent according as they are major or minor and in the case of Institutions with debit balances action should be taken to revise the scales of expenditure so as to admit the payment of the prescribed contribution to the 'Fund' as a primary charge on their income. The Muzrai Commissioner may be requested to revise his statements on the above lines.

21) Para 7:- If as stated above, exemption proposed in favour of Institutions having debit balances be refused the annual contribution leviable will be Rs 22,145 plus 3,755 (as shown in statement 'C') or a total of Rs 25,900/ Including the grant-in-aid by Government at 5 percent of this amount the total contribution will be Rs 25,900 plus Rs 1,295 = Rs 27,195/- and the number of Institutions subject to levy is 813 plus 33 or 846.

22. Para 8:- As already stated above, the exemption proposed in favour of 33 Institution having debit balances (vide statement 'C') cannot be accepted. As regards statement 'D'

Hay V

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz File No. 222 of 1929.

Serial No.

the omission of the Institutions whose income is below Rs 100/- is in order. But the exclusion of Institutions on the ground that no scale has been sanctioned does not appear to be correct. In the absence of a sanctioned scale providing for the payment of the percentage levy, the contribution should be recovered on the average income of the preceding 3 years. Here I may point out that in statement 'D' is included an Institution by name Kille Venkataramana Swami, Kankanhalli, which has a balance of Rs 1495-12-8 at its credit and has been proposed to be excused from the payment of the prescribed contribution of Rs 14/-. Unless its annual income has fallen below Rs 100/- since the last assessment, the exemption proposed does not seem to be justifiable. This is noted as an illustration —

23 Para 9:- If the proposals are revised by the inclusion of Institutions which have debit balances but which are otherwise liable to the percentage levy the income of the Fund will be able to meet the existing Establishment charges and also leave a little margin for contingencies and variations.

24 Para 10:- The Muzrai Commissioner's proposal to levy percentage charges from the General Muzrai Fund cannot, I think, be approved. The matter does not require re-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Agrg File No. 222 of 1929

Serial No.

reconsideration. The demands on this fund are very heavy. If there is any surplus in this fund it is open to Government to make contributions whenever necessary to the establishment fund. A regular levy on the percentage basis annually from one fund to the other when both are at the disposal of the Government is unnecessary. For like reasons the proposal to levy a contribution to the 'Establishment Fund' from the Chattram Savings Fund need not also be accepted.

25 If as stated above, the percentage levy be enforced in the case of Institutions included in statement 'C' ~~and~~ as well as those included in statement 'D' whose average annual income is not below Rs 100/- the resources of the 'Fund' will leave some margin and the Muzrai Commissioner may submit proposals for the revision of the District and Taluk Muzrai staff if there is urgent need for it. Government may consider the proposals on their merit.

26 Para 12:- The Muzrai Commissioner may be requested to submit for sanction revised proposals in the light of the remarks made above.

May '31

27 In our letter of ~~18th January~~ 17th March 1930 the Muzrai Commissioner's opinion was invited as regards the desirability of laying down a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

a maximum annual limit for the contribution to be paid by any Institution.

28 His views are contained in his letter of 12th April 1930. They appear to me to be reasonable. As proposed by him the basis of the levy may be continued as at present without fixing a maximum limit of contribution.

Hay 'G'

29 In the proposals submitted by the Muzrai Commissioner there are some other discrepancies which call for notice.

30. In respect of the Gumbuz ~~and~~ at Ganajam the assessment is continued at 2½ %. This is opposed to the Government Order of 12th October 1927 which sanctioned the reduced rate for a period of 3 years. Whether the full levy at 5% should not be made hereafter is a point for consideration and orders.

I think the full rate should be levied Muz

31 No contribution is proposed to be levied from the Sri Subrahmanya Bara Hajar Santharpai There is no reason for exempting this Institution. - Vide para 5 (a) of the G.O. of 12th October 1927.

I agree Hay 'D' Muz

32 I am not sure whether the Mutts and other like Institutions under the temporary management of Government are subject to the levy in accordance with the directions contained in the G.O. of 31st January 1928. This will have to be carefully verified in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office.

1st member 32. I agree with L 28 4 29
L Secy as well
34 Draft MD/1011 L 25 4 30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

1412
9/1

Serial No.

35 Submitted with two drafts for consideration.
MSK
6/5/30

36. Please type 2 copies

37. Draft I is typed and submitted.
MSK
9.5.30

9/1

19

38. Please keep a clean copy of the draft as noted in the file before issue
10/1

31. Done
MSK
10/5/30

To.

~~24~~
4. The Mwg Comm
No. 2334 S. 12.5-30
With reference to 3 cards for revised proposals for the continuance of the fund on the line, incr... 20

Sw
MSK
14/5/30

Letter No: C.1028/29-30 dated 1.7.30.
From the Mwg. Comm.
6 Submits revised proposals as instructed in serial 4.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mus. File No. 222 of 1929-30

Serial No.

40. Submitted for orders with reference to paras 15-33 of the notes.

MSK 9/7 41. Draft G.O is also subd. under in Standard form the Suptd: for consideration.

MSK 10/7 42. The income to the fund is to be found in statement A, BOC enclosed to the P.W.D and works to Rs 26255. On this a 5% State contribution will work to Rs 1312. A sum of Rs 1250 has been provided in the current year's budget for this purpose.

MSK 11.7.30 First Member 12.7.30

43. Why std contributions for 2 years be adjusted this year? Vide last para of draft

44 14.7.30

Submitted.

The previous sanction expired with 1928-29. It is in order if the contribution leviable for 1929-30 be authorised to be recovered from the contributions and adjusted in the accounts of that year alone. (Vide para 9 of G.O of 12-10-1927 for the similar case of 1926-27)

MSK 14/7/30 1st Member 14.7.30

45 Vide [illegible]

46. Issue

OFFICE OF THE FIRST M. ...IL 12 JULY 1930 No 316

OFFICE OF THE FI... ...IL 14 JULY 1930 319

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01681—GPB—30,000—20 4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug File No. 222 of 1929-30

Serial No. 7.

To The Mug. Commr.
.. Comptroller to Govt:

No: 146-7 dated 15/16th July 1930.

Passes orders for the levy of Contribution from the Mugrai Institutions for the Mug. Edn: Fund.

47

Printed copies has been distributed to the Mug Commr & Comptroller on 18.9.30

Rec 25.10.30

Please learn this recorded early
MSK
25-10-30

Press Svs.
MSK
17.7.30

Why is the recording of this delayed. I do not find the delay recording marked on this must be attended to Early. It was noted that enquiries would follow. The Designated has not noted that enquiries has been sent. This should be noted by him
MSK
25/10/30

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 02328- GPB—10,000 -22 4-30

18

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....13th..January..... ..1930

18

No. 1028 Ests 5 Establishments
29-30

FROM Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B...., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

18 JAN 9152.

Misc 227

To

RajasevaParayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to the last para of G.O.No.2143-4/Muz.287-27-2 -- dated 23rd May 1928, sanctioning the revision of the Muzrai Establishments and directing that the position of the Muzrai Establishment --- fund, be reviewed at the end of 28-29, I have the honor to state as follows:--

1. (1) The Muzrai Establishment Fund opened with a nil balance at the beginning of 1926-27 the heavy debit balance prior to that year, having ~~been~~ been adjusted during 1925-26 out of the accumulated --- interest on the investments of minor Muzrai Institutions in the 6% State Loan of 1940, in accordance with the instructions of Government contained in para 6(e) of Government Order No.902-5/Muz.169-25-4 dated 23rd January 1926.

2. During 1927-28 and 28-29 a sum of Rs.73357-7-0 being the three years percentage contribution from 26-27 to 28-29 was, as directed by Government in para 6 of their order No.574-5/Muz.341-26-4 dated 12th October 1927 debited to the respective institutions and credited to the treasuries in two different ways viz., (a) as a direct credit of Establishment fund which amounts to Rs.29916-3-0, as per Government Order No.1220-21 Muz.341-26-6 dated 31st January 1928 (b) Rs.43,440-15-0 and as a separate item of one of the institution funds as if there were such an institution as Establishment Fund in each Taluk.

(5.) In this connection it has to be pointed out that the procedure adopted till now with regard to the credits given to the Establishment fund under the 2nd head is inconsistent with the directions in that behalf contained in G.O. No.1220-21 Muz.341-26-6 dated 31-1-28. The Comptroller may kindly be requested to adjust by transfer all this amount towards the Provincial Establishment Fund head and not show the same separately as has been done upto now.

2. The total payments on account of Muzrai Establishments -- maintained in the various offices during the above period was -- Rs.52,267-0-10. This expenditure leaves out of account (1) cases where establishments maintained in certain offices were paid out of the funds of certain specified institutions, as was in vogue -- prior to the formation of the fund and which after its formation -- ought properly to have been a debit to the Establishment Fund, -- thereby levying a double charge on such institutions for Muzrai Establishment fund. (1) Such payments amount to Rs.9900-5-1 as -----

(1) fund to Institutions	3524- 7- 1	) detailed in the margin.
do to Vyasaraya Mutt.	790- 4- 0	)
do to Sivaganga Mutt.	585-10- 0	) (2) Comptroller's office
Total Rs.	9900- 5- 1	)

Muzrai Establishment ---- charges amounting to Rs.5046 and (3) a proiety of cost of Establishment charges of this office debitable to the fund, amounting to Rs.1709-6-0 for April and May and June 1929. These three items amount to Rs.16,655-11-1. A bill has been sent to the Comptroller for the 1st item of Rs.9900-5-1 and this amount will be adjusted towards the establishment fund as soon as it is received audited by the Comptroller. As regards the remaining two items the Comptroller will be requested to adjust the same from the Establishment Fund early. Adding the above sum of Rs.16,655-11-1 which has to be met out of the Establishment Fund the total actual charge against the fund will be 48,292-11-11. This will leave a net balance of Rs.24,464-11-1 on 30-6-1929 after the adjustments mentioned -- above are carried out.

3. The demand under the Muzrai Establishment fund as per annexture D to G.O. No.1220-21 Muz.341-26-6 dated 31-1-1928 amounted to Rs.24314 per annum. Subsequently contributions from the Sr

Sri Sivaganga Mutt and the Sri Avani Mutt amounting to Rs.538/- and Rs.150/- respectively, were added to it, making a total demand of Rs.25,502/- per annum or ~~Rs~~x Rs. 76,506/- or the entire period of the currency of the present rate of contribution. (vide statement B) Against this demand the collection was 73,557-7-0. The balance is Rs.3148-9-0 as per account. But as this amount is due from institutions which on account of their financial condition are not able to meet even their ordinary charges e.g., Sreekantesvaraswami temple etc.) it is not at all recoverable from them.

4. From the above history of the Fund during these three years it will be seen that a surplus of Rs 24000/- and odd has resulted from the transactions under this head during the past three years. This was due to the fact that the proposals for the revision of the Muzrai Establishment in the several offices which were sent simultenously with the proposals to systematise the levy of contribution from the several institutions for the Muzrai establishment fund ~~have~~ were reserved for separate orders and the reorganisation was sanctioned only with effect from 1st June 1928. (vide G.O.No.2134-4/Muz.287-27-2 dated 23rd May 1928). For the full expense to be incurred under Government Order it took some time. Hence the small surplus which it is very necessary to retain as a fund and invest in the Savings Bank ~~for~~ for the benefit of the fund for the reasons mentioned below:--

5. The period of the currency of the percentage contribution sanctioned in the Government order of 12-10-27 referred to above expired on 30-6-29. Fresh lists of Major and Minor institutions subject to the payment of the contribution to the establishment fund as per present conditions have been prepared and are submitted herewith for information. The procedure now adopted for fixing the percentage contribution is explained hereunder:--

6. The amount of percentage contribution payable by each Muzrai Institution during the ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ triennium 26-27 to 28-29 as determined on the average income of each Major and Minor Institutions calculated on its actual receipts during 23-24, 24-25 and 25-26 at 5% and 3% respectively since actual income of each institution is not readily available. This was in accordance with the instructions contained in G.O. No.705-C ...

Muz.245-75-6 dated 19th October 1926. The statements now compiled (marked A & B) percentage contribution is calculated on the income of the major and minor institutions as fixed in its sanctioned scale of income and expenditure, (wherever scales have been sanctioned and consequently such information is available) in accordance with the instructions contained in para 2 of G.O.M. No.2538-40 Muz. 64-1-1 dated 2-4-1917 sanctioning the constitution of the Muzrai establishment fund. In other cases the contribution is based on the average income of the institution for the three preceding years as per instructions contained in the Government order of 1926. Another additional factor kept in view in assessing the contribution in this list is that the levy is left out in case of the institutions which have a debit balance. This is in accordance with the instructions contained in the G.O. of 2-4-17.

7. According to statements A & B the amount of contribution per annum leviable from the several institutions is Rs.82,145. To this must be added the Grant-in-aid by Government at 5% on the above amount, which amounts to Rs.1,110/- as per G.O. No.4554-A Muz.309-13-2 dated 17th June 1920. The total contribution from all sources is Rs.83,255/- and the total number of institutions subject to the percentage levy is 813.

8. Annual contributions during the triennium ended 30-6-29 were Rs. 24,314/- and with the subsequent addition of the contribution from the Sri Sivaganga Mutt and the Avani Matt amounting to Rs.538/- and Rs.150/- respectively the total contribution came upto Rs.25,502/- per annum. There has been a decrease of Rs. 2247/- per annum in the figures now arrived at. Reasons for decrease are (1) 33 debit balance institutions subject to an aggregate levy of 3755/- on account of percentage contribution to the establishment fund have been omitted, Vide statement C, and (2) 122 ---- institutions which were subjected to the payment of Rs.784/- as contribution to the establishment fund during the previous triennium since their average annual income was over Rs.100/- and had sufficient margin of surplus, have also been omitted in the list either because they have not a sufficient annual surplus to reject the levy or because their total average income has been found to fall below Rs.100/- Detailed statements marked C and D relating to the above classes of institutions have been prepared and are submitted herewith for the information of Government. Districtwar extracts from the above will be forwarded to the District Collectors ----

Deputy Commissioners of Districts. The Deputy Commissioners will be further requested to devise means, by improvement of income and retrenchment of the expenditure, to wipe out the existing debit balances in institutions having such balances and to fix suitable --- scales in respect of them so that they may leave a margin for reserve and the establishment Fund and also to sanctioned scales in respect of institutions for which scales have not been sanctioned yet.

9. In this connection it is submitted for the information of -- Government that the amount sanctioned towards the establishment charges in the various offices out of the establishment fund in Para 8 of G.O.No.2143-4/Muz.287-27-2 dated 23th May 1928 is Rs.22306-8-0 as -- shown here under for facility of reference:--

Muzrai Commissioner's office	..	13133- 0- 0
Controller's office	..	1682- 0- 0
Audit Establishment.	..	5070- 0- 0
District Establishment	..	2928- 0- 0
Taluk Establishment	..	6060- 0- 0
Total.	..	28873- 0- 0
Deduct Society cost debitable to state fund.		6566- 8- 0
Net establishment charges to be met out of Establishment fund.		22306- 8- 0

But the estimated income as detailed above comes to Rs.22145/-- -- excluding the sum of Rs.1110/- being the contribution from state Revenues at 5% as sanctioned in G.O.No.4534-43 Muz.809-13-2 dated 17-6-20. A further sum of Rs.1110/- at 5% on the total collections has to be kept as a reserve to guard against unforseen fluctuations, in the receipts of the institutions, as directed in para 4 of the Government Order under advertance. The net amount available for expenditure will therefore be only Rs.21,035/- which falls short of the required amount for establishment charges by abount Rs.1272/-.

To make up for this deficit it is necessary that the surplus now realised (of Rs.24,000) should be invested in the Fixed Deposit, and this will give us only a sum of Rs.1200/- per year at 5% which will be just -- sufficient to meet the existing establishment charges.

10. I have found during my inspections in the Districts that Muzrai work has not still been [illegible]

and on account of want of proper scrutiny and timely action the -- institutions concerned do not get the proper benefit of their resources This is due primarily to the lack of proper establishment to conduct the work relating to this branch with proper intelligence and zeal, the clerk ordinarily entrusted with the work has either his hands full otherwise or is a very junior and inexperienced member of the staff. I am confident that the income of the several institutions may be increased by at least by 25% if not more, immediately, by a little more attention to the proper administration on the part of the taluk and District Offices and such additional cost will be made good by the increased income which is expected to be derived by closer scrutiny and supervision and a corresponding increase in contribution to the Establishment Fund.

For this increase in the staff, there is at present no provision It has to be observed in this connection that prior to the G.O. of 1928 sanctioning the reorganisation of the Muzrai Department a portion of the staff (i.e. the Engineering Establishment of this office) maintained in the Muzrai Commissioner's office which was absorbed in the revised scale was paid out of the General Muzrai Funds and this charge amounted to Rs.124/- P.M. or Rs.1488/- per year. This amount is just equivalent to the -- percentage contribution that can be levied on the interest earnings of the General Muzrai Fund which is being administered by the Muzrai Department It is but legitimate that a portion of the charges for administration should be debited to the General Muzrai Fund in the same proportion as other institutions so administered are made to contribute. In para 5 clause D of G.O.No.574-5/Muz.341-26-4 dated 12th October 1927 it has been ordered that no contribution to the Establishment fund need be levied from the General Muzrai Fund. This order was apparently based upon the consideration that at that time the charges for the entire Engineering Establishment of the Muzrai Commissioner's office which was eventually absorbed in the revised Establishment, were borne entirely from the General Muzrai Fund. Now that this Fund has been relieved from these charges in the revision of the Muzrai Establishment, it is but fair that the position in this matter should be reexamined and contribution levied from the General Muzrai Fund for the Establishment fund in the same proportion as in the case of other institutions.

11. I therefore request that Government sanction may kindly be obtained for the levy of the percentage contribution from the General Muzrai -- Fund. The position is very much the same with ~~the~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ respect ~~of~~ to Chattram Savings fund, which also should be made to contribute to the Establishment fund, as this fund also is administered by the Muzrai -- Department. Government may kindly be moved to sanction this proposal also. If the above two proposals are sanctioned I shall be in a -- position to augment the District Muzrai Staff suitably so as to bring about a more efficient ~~xxxxxxxx~~ conduct of Muzrai work in the Districts which is sure to enhance the income of the institutions considerably and enable a better supervision of the services conducted therein. On -- receipt of orders sanctioning the above proposals, I shall submit proposals for the revision of the District and taluk Muzrai staff in consonance with the above views.

In this connection I wish to emphasize the fact that particular institutions should pay for establishment specially maintained in the discharge of duties assumed by Government for the proper maintenance of religious institutions and the inams and other benefits provided by -- Government for them is pecular to the Muzrai Department and principle is not accepted in the case of other public services. As practice eventuall reduces the income of these institutions to the extent they are called upon to contribute ~~providing~~ towards the establishment fundit seems to me but fair that the principle should be extended to all ~~services~~ sources of income administered by the Muzrai Department.

12. In ~~connection~~ conclusion I beg that Government sanction may be obtained (1) for the continuance of the levy of contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions for the Muzrai Establishment fund on the principles ----- ennunciated above, permanently subject to revision by the Muzrai Commissioner once in 5 years, and (2) for levying percentage contribution for the -- Establishment fund from the General Muzrai Fund and chattram Savings Fund on the same principles.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore, 17th March *193*0

No. 1896 Mug. 222-29-2

Revised

From

Rajasevaparayana
B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Rajakaryapravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1028 of 1929-30 dated the 13th/18th January 1930 submitting for sanction proposals for the continuance permanently of thelevy of centage contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions for the Muzrai Establishment Fun I am directed to draw your attention to the desirability of layi down a maximum annual limit for the contribution to be paid by a institution. It is necessary to consider if it is reasonable t levy the contribution in direct proportion to the income of the institutions without reference to the services which the establis ment maintained at the expense of the Fund renders to them. If the imposition of a maximum limit is considered equitable, how the adoption of this principle would affect the resources of the Establishment Fund requires careful examination. I am to reques you to consider the point raised above and favour Government with your opinion at an early date. The enclosures to your letter under ~~xxpiy~~ reference are herewith returned for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

OFFICE COPY.

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inspn

File No. 222-29

Serial No. 2.

No. 1896/Insp

Diary No. of letter replied to....124.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Inspn)

the *192*

To

The Inspn Commissioners in Inspn

Bangalore

Date of issue....18/3/930.....

Signed by....M.v. A.N.Rao.....

Sir,

With reference to

Your letter No 6028

29-30 dated the 15th

15th January 1693.

Intimating in Panchama

~~Officials~~ the

List of enclosures.....

Instructions

Memorandum

of the levy of

Contributions on

the General Inspn-

Institutions for the

Inspn Establishm

over

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

and I am directed
to ~~enquire if it is~~
~~be~~ reasonable to levy
the contributions to be
~~paid by~~ on institutions
in due proportion
to the income of the
institutions, with
reference to the sources
which the establishment
maintained at the expense
of the fund renders it
~~It~~ ~~or~~ whether it is
reasonable to lay down
a maximum annual
limit for the contributions
to be paid by persons
from an institution,
and to request you to
~~be so good as to~~ state
how the moneys of
the fund would be
affected by such a
~~by~~ levy
which appears to you

- except persons,

(& students)

~~with~~
draw your attention to the
desirability of laying down a
maximum annual limit
for the contributions
paid by an institution -
It is necessary to
~~consider~~ if it is reasonable
to levy the contributions in direct
proportion to the income of
the institutions with out regard
to the sources which the efficient
maintenance of the expenses
of the fund renders it.
If the imposition of a maximum
limit is considered ~~necessary~~
equitable, how the application of
this principle would affect the
moneys of various funds

~~If~~ In the latter case
it is necessary
to know what the
proportion of an
annual income
of the Institution
~~Principal~~ Principal Moneys
~~would be~~
~~order to make up~~
~~Principal Sum~~
~~to be Considered~~
~~the actual Value~~
examined with
reference to the above
views, and reasonable
to order

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....11th April.....*193* 0.

No. C 10 28/29-30
— 5 Statements

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Muz. 28-29-2

very early

SIR,

98.

With reference to your letter No.1896 Muz.222-29-2 dated 17-3-30 calling for my opinion on certain points in the matter of the proposals submitted by this office for the continuance permanently of the levy o percentage contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions for augmenting the resources of the Muzrai Establishment Fund, I have the honor to state as follows:-

As regards the principle of laying down a maximum annual limit for the contribution to be paid by an institution the present rate is less than 1¼% fixed in 1919 and if this is further reduced by the introducti of a maximum the resources of the fund will be very much crippled and i will not be possible to maintain even the present establishment which has been explained in this office letter already requires to be considerably augmented if the work of the Muzrai Department is to improve its efficiency. As it is, the resources are just sufficient to maintain the present establishment and effect a few inevitable additions. So any curtailment in the shape of fixing a maximum will injuriously affect efficiency.

As regards the 2nd point I beg to state that the services rendered by the over-head departments for which this establishment fund is taken are in proportion to the transactions of the institutions and the latter again depend upon the income and expenditure of each institution. In this connection it may be observed that the highest amount of ~~contrib~~

contribution is from Chamarajanagar temple which comes to about R. 1620/- per year, for an annual income of R 32,400/- and this cannot be considered heavy in view of the large amount of transactions every year and the contribution from the other institutions excepting Kalaseswaraswamy Temple does not in any case exceed R 400/- and there are only 41 institutions out of a total of 813 institutions in the State which contribute over R. 100/-.

In view of these facts as the rate fixed now is already very low, I do not think it is capable of any further reduction and the fixing of a maximum does not seem to be feasible.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K.V. Narasimha Murthy
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from HQ quarters
on

To

The Muzrai Commr. in Mysore.

2334 Muz 222-29-4
See 3 Statements
12/5/30

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.1028/29-30 dated 12-4-1930, ~~submitting proposals for the permanent continuance of the levy of percentage contribution from the several Muzrai institutions for augmenting the resources of~~ regarding the enhancement of the Muz. Estt. Fund, I am directed to ~~state as follows~~:-

Please the enclosure bystatement showing the amount of arrears of contribution payable by each of the Muzrai institutions for the years ending 28 29 with

(Vide Para.3 of your letter dated 18th Jan. 1930) ~~It is reported~~ Stated that the balance of Rs. 3148-9-0 due to the Fund at the end of the ~~last~~ triennium ending 28 29 is not recoverable as the institutions by which the contributions are payable cannot meet even their ordinary charges. As regards this ~~I am to invite~~ your attention is drawn to para. 4 (c) of G.O. No.574-5 Muz.341-26-4 dated 12-10-27 and para. 2 of G.O. No. 1220-21 Muz.341-26-6 dated 31-1-28 directing that early action should be taken in the case of Muzrai institutions with debit balances for providing for payment by them of the contributions payable to the Estt. Fund annually, ~~and to point out that~~ No exemption was granted to any institution on account of its financial condition from payment of the prescribed contribution. I am accordingly to request you to recover the contributions from the several institutions which have now defaulted, suitable ~~separate~~ action being also taken to wipe out their debit balances.

~~Para. 1 of your letter.~~

2. ~~Your~~ The proposal to invest the surplus in the Savings Bank of the Fund is approved. It may be invested under the 5 per cent Fixed Deposit arrangement.

~~Paras 5 and 6.~~

3. ~~I am to observe that~~ The annual resources of the Fund leave no ~~large~~ substantial surplus over the present standard of expenditure on Estt. and it would not be right ~~as already indicated~~ to grant exemptions to ~~the 35~~ institutions ~~which have debit balances and find other means of improvin the resources of the Fund~~ on the ground of their being on the debit side. The recommendation ~~in their favour~~ made in this behalf cannot be accepted. All Muz. institutions whose average annual income is not below Rs. 100/- should be ~~charged at 5 or 3 per cent according as they are major or minor.~~ made liable to pay the contribution prescribed in accordance with this order. In the case of institutions with debit balances prompt action should be taken on the line of previous Govt. orders to revise the scales of Expenditure so as to admit the payment of the ~~present~~ contribution to the Estt. Fund as a primary charge on their income.

4. ~~Para 8~~

~~While omission from percentage levy of institutions whose income is below Rs. 100/- is in order, exclusion of such~~ Exemption in favour of such institutions as have no sanctioned scale ~~simply because no scale has been sanctioned is not correct~~ cannot also be granted, if their annual income is not below Rs. 100. In the absence of a sanctioned scale providing for the payment of the percentage levy, the contribution should be recovered on the average income of the preceding three years. ~~The figures noted in para.~~

33

~~of your letter may~~ therefore be revised.

Govt. accept your view that the basis of the levy may be continued as at present without laying down any maximum annual limit.

~~The statements sent with your letter are returned herewith for revision on the above lines.~~

~~Paras. 9, 10 and 11.~~

The income of the Fund including that from institutions with debit balances will be able to meet existing Estt. charges and leave a little margin over for contingencies and variations. Govt. are not in favour of levying percentage charges from the Genl. Muz. Fund and the Chattram Savings Fund ~~as the demands on these are heavy and a regular annual percentage levy from one Fund to another when both are at the disposal of the Govt. is unnecessary.~~ ~~Apart from this, Govt~~ will be glad to consider ~~your~~ proposals for the revision of the District and Taluk Muz. Staff on their merits if a revision is urgently called ~~for in the interests of efficiency.~~

~~In this connection, I am to state that~~ there is no reason ~~to exempt~~ the Sri Subrahmanya Baruhazar Santarpane from payment for the ~~fund and that the~~ reduced rate at 2½ % in favour of the Gumbuz at Ganjam, Serinagaram and connected institutions was ~~only~~ sanctioned for a period of three years ~~and to request that the full rate be levied hereafter from these institutions.~~ I am also to request you to do so as to report if the directions of the G.O. of 31-1-28 in regard to the levy of contribution to the Muz. Estt. Fund from the income of Mutts and similar institutions under the temporary management

34

of Govt. have been carried out.

7 Revised proposals in the light of the above remarks may kindly be submitted early for the orders of Govt. The enclosures received with your letter are returned herewith.

I have &c.,

Fl. Secy. to Govt.

85

12th May 1930

M: 2334
Muz. 222-29-4

Rajakaryapravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 1028 of 1929-30 dated the 12th April 1930 regarding the continuance of the Muzrai Establishment Fund, I am directed to request you to submit revised proposals on the lines indicated below:-

(Vide para. 3 of your letter dated 18th January 1930)

1. It is stated that the balance of Rs. 3148-9-0 due to the Fund at the end of the triennium ending 1928-29 is not recoverable as the institutions by which the contributions are payable cannot meet even their ordinary charges. As regards this your attention is invited to para. 4 (c) of G.O. No. 574-5/Muz.341-26-4 dated 12th October 1927 and para. 2 of G.O. No. 1220-21/Muz.341-26-6 dated 31st January 1928 directing that early action should be taken in the case of Muzrai institutions with debit balances for providing for payment by them of the contributions payable to the Establishment Fund annually. No exemption was granted to any institution on account of its financial condition from payment of the prescribed contribution. I am accordingly to request you to recover the contributions from the several institutions which have now defaulted, suitable action being also taken to wipe out their deoit balances.

2. Your proposal to invest the surplus of the Fund in the Savings Bank is approved. It may be invested under the five per cent Fixed Deposit arrangement.

3. The annual resources of the Fund leave no substantial surplus over the present standard of expenditure on Establishment and it would not be right to grant exemptions to institutions on the ground of their balances being on the debit side. The recommendation made in this behalf cannot be accepted. In accordance with existing orders all Nuzrai institutions whose average annual income is not below Rs. 100/- should be made liable to pay the prescribed contribution. In the case of institutions with debit balances prompt action should be taken to revise the scales of expenditure so as to admit the payment of the contribution to the Establishment Fund as a primary charge on their income.

4. Exemption in favour of institutions as have no sanctioned scale cannot also be granted, if their annual income is not below Rs. 100/-. In the absence of a sanctioned scale providing for the payment of the percentage levy, the contribution should be recovered on the average income of the preceding three years.

5. There is no reason why the Sri Subrahmanya Brahmachar Santapane should be exempted from payment of contribution for this Fund. The reduced rate at 2½ per cent in favour of the Gumbaz and connected institutions at Ganjam, Seringapatam was sanctioned only for a period of three years and thereafter contribution at full rates should be levied from them. Government would like to know if the directions of the Government order of 31st January 1928 in regard to the levy of contribution to the Nuzrai Establishment Fund from the income of Mutts and similar institutions under the temporary management of Government have been carried out.

6. Government accept your view that the basis of the levy may be continued as at present without laying down any maximum annual limit. Government are not in favour of levying percentage charges from the General Nuzrai Fund and the Chattram Savings Fund. They will have no objection to consider proposals for the revision of the District and Taluk Nuzrai Staff on their

merits

merits if a revision is urgently called for and if the position of the Fund admits of it after the scheme is made permanent.

7. Revised proposals in the light of the above remarks may kindly be submitted early for the orders of Government. The enclosures received with your letter are returned herewith

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

Muz 222-29-5

38

No. C/1680/27-28 of the Mu[illegible] Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore, 30th April 1930.

No. 6657

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1740 2 MAY 1930 1740 Office of the MUZRAI

1 MAY [illegible]

It was brought [illegible] contribution to the [illegible] during the year 27-28 [illegible] Muzrai establishment had been apportioned in several talukas as if it was a [illegible] amount. The result has been that the whole [illegible] or less according to [illegible] Treasury records [illegible] of it has been [illegible] more than [illegible] for each taluk, while it [illegible] whole state to be [illegible] Commissioner. This is [illegible] instructions issued by Government in their order C.1880-81 dated 31-26-4 dated 1st January 1927.

2. The Taluk Amildars maybe requested to [illegible] to the provincial head and [illegible] Government or the Muzrai Commissioner to the provincial head alone and send a statement of [illegible] by the taluk treasury to the Muzrai Commissioner.

(Sd) P. G. D'SOUZA,
[illegible] Commissioner.

1. C 1680/27-28 dt 30-4-1930

Copy of the above is forwarded herewith, with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

In pursuance to this subject in my note in the file dated 2nd May 1930

Rathnaswamy
for Muzrai Commissioner.

In pursuance to this subject in my note in the file dated 2nd May 1930

1/5/30

Mahi 4-5-30

RS, case file register note 6/5/30

(14)

35

M. G. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 30th June *193* 0.

17.

2/7/30

No. C 1028/29.30.

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 2 JUL 1930 28 MUZRAI.

FINANCE 2 JUL No.

4.50 P.M

Mysz 222-29-6.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2334/Muz.222-29-4 dated -- 12th May 1930, making certain observations on the proposals submitted, re: the levy of contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. Re: paras 1 & 3 of the letter ---

Instructions have been issued to the Deputy Commissioners to collect contributions from several Institutions which have now made default and to take suitable action to revise their scales so as to wipe out their debit balances and enable the institutions to bear the Establishment charges.

3. Re: para 4. The revised statement now submitted incorporates the contributions that are to be collected from the institutions ---- whose annual income is not below Rs.100/-, whether scales have been sanctioned with respect to such institutions or not, the contribution being based on the average income of the preceding 3 years.

4. Re: para 5. The contribution from the Barahazar Santarpane had been included in the original statement itself. The Gumbuz contribution has been increased by 2½ per cent as observed in this para. Of course, contributions are being levied to the Muzrai --- Establishment Fund from the incomes of all Institutions which are -- under the temporary management of Government, such as Mutts and ---

and other institutions.

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the revised proposals now submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.











Statement showing names of Institutions included in annexure 74 D to G 1220-21. Muz. 341-26-6 dated 31-1-28 but omitted in the lists of Institutions for have been sanctioned by Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

it	Taluk	Name of Institution	Average based on the actuals of 26-27, 27-28-4	C. B. on 30-6-1929	Percent Contri bu tion	Remarks
B		Sunkenahalli Kumaraswami	576/-	571-0-8	17	
6		Sutesha Darga	142/-	1171-3-4	4	
		Komatipet Venkataramana	354/-	221-3-7	11	
		Chickpet Kasi Visweswara	103	238-4-3	3	
		Gavipur Gangadhareswara	179	22-10-6	5	
		Settihalli Narasimha	219	584-1-1	7	
		Begur Nageswara	219	387-9-5	8	
				Rs	55	
Channapa		Wodderkuppe Hengal Anja	119	1139-0-11	4	
				Rs	4	
ankanha		Malegal Madeswara	479	1056-0-7	14	
				Rs	14	
Anekal		Thimmarayaswami	170	605-7-8	5	
				Rs	5	
		Kille Gopalaswami	102	216-6-9	3	
		Chandramowleswara	141	38-9-9	5	
				Rs	8	
Yela		Byrasettihalli Anjaneya	446	1251-14	13	
				Rs	13	
Magadi		Suggenahalli	2273	9568-12-9	114	
				Rs	114	
		Total Bangalore District			213	

Kolar	Kolar	Antaraganga vasa Visweswara	125	348-15-1	4
				Rs	4
	Chickballapur	Peerasandra Sangameswara	121	412-1-4	4
				Rs	4
		Total Kolar District		Rs	8
Tumkur	Tumkur	Kesarmadu Darga	335	2477-8-4	10
	Kunigal	Mr C.D. Rameswaraiahs Choultry	122	403-2-8	4
	Gubbi	Chennabasava Samadhi	293	1164-9-8	9
		Total Tumkur District.		Rs	23
Mysore	Mysore	(Jagania) Bade Makkan	444	151-10-7	13
	Mandya	Honnalgere Narayana	234	592-8-6	7
		Hanalkere Venkataramana	123	198-7-4	4
		Guttaru Gopalaswami	100	114-8-10	3
		Durga Lakshminarasimha	271	12-14-6	8
				Rs	22
	Krishnarajpet	Madapur Rameswara	106	1291-1-2	3
		Appenahalli Veerabhadra	103	27-8-3	3
				Rs	6
	Yedatore	Katte Basappas Chaitra	172	1625-7-9	5
		Hadya Anjaneyaswami	206	293-12-4	6
				Rs	11
	Gundlupet	Himavat Gopalaswami	342	1261-6-8	10
		Total Mysore District		Rs	62

4

Hassan	Arsi	Gavadangere Anjaneya Ste	497	1217-2-1	15	7
		Total Hassan District		Rs	15	
Shimoga	Sorab	Enrihalli Siddeswara	155	752-13-4	5	
		Kubatur Narasimha	151	11-7-0	5	
				Rs	10	
	Shimoga	Kuoli Brahmeswara	1424	2238-9-0	71	
		Pillangeri Venkataramana	1484	1899-8-11	74	
				Rs	145	
	Channagiri	Basavapatna Hanumantha	186	612-11-7	5	
		Total Shimoga District		Rs	160	
Kadur	Chickmagalur	Vastare Padmavatiamma	170	295-1-6	5	
		Eswarahalli Lakshminarayana	318	304-9-9	10	
		Mirle Byredevaru	604	1112-10-6	3	
				Rs	18	
	Narasimharaja pura	Yedehalli Brahma	150	706-2-1	5	
		Total Kadur District		Rs	23	
8 Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug	Turuvanur Balagatti Prana devaru	448	983-1-6	13	
	Hosadurga	Nerlakere Dasaratha Rameswara	313	2113-6-3	10	
	Davanagere	Hadadi Hanumantha	123	667-3-4	4	
	Harihar	Amaravati Hanumantha	238	498-10-8	7	
		Dhulihole Rameswara	413	1406-6-0	13	
		Vasana Hanumantha & Kalleswara	312	1346-12-4	9	

Harihar (Contd)

Rs 29

Rs 56

Total Chitaldrug Dt.

– Abstract –

1	Bangalore District	Rs 213
2	Kolar – " –	" 8
3	Tumkur – " –	" 23
4	Mysore – " –	" 62
5	Hassan – " –	" 15
6	Shimoga – " –	" 160
7	Kadur – " –	" 23
8	Chitaldrug – " –	" 56
	Grand Total.	Rs 560

Rao Bahadur Muzaffar
18/1/30
for Mysore Commissioner

Consolidated rent of Debut Kodam — Inshe 1882

Statement C (Provincial)

District	Taluk	Name of Inscription	Closing Balance on 30 & 29	Fasli	Kist	Remarks
					Rs.	
Coimbatore	Devanhalli 9-4-4	Kamgopalaswamy	-9	9	7 7-0	
		Total			7-0	
Karur	Melur	Tyagaraja Varadarajaswamy (R.)	-43	9	6 18-0	
	Bagepalli	—				
	Mulbagal	Mulbagal Darga Rs 1075 11-0	-56	13	10 57-0	
	— " —	Kurundamala Kuragatka 177-7-0	-24	5	4 5-0	
	Srinivaspur	Yeldur Kodandaramaswamy Rs 7 5/=	-164	6	5 13-0	= 134-1-0
		Total			93-0-0	
Tumkur	Tumkur	Kyatsandra Kempegala Swamy	-303	12	6 11	
		Kasturi Chokkanathaswamy	-56	4	8 3	
	Tiptur	Kyasanda Narasimha Swamy	-367	5	5 12	
	Kunigal 144-0-0	Gavaba Chennigaraya	-44	6	4 4	
	Gubbi	Kadaba Timmala Devaru	-446	8	. 9	
	— " —	Kalkere Venkataramana 33-1-0	-299	.	9 9	
	— " —	Chelur Timmala Devaru 15-4-0	-370	4	. 5	
	— " —	Kadaba Rama Devaru 27-2-0	-105	9	10 10	
		Total			63	
Hindupur	Hindupur	Hindupur Sakya Narayana Swamy	-103	2	. 5	
		Total			5	

Mysore	Srirangapatana	Sri Ranganathaswamy	-3476 10-2	197
	— " —	Ganapathaneswara and Jothe Maheswara	-22-2 11	165
	"	Mallikarjuna Nanjundeswara	-7211 12-6	1078
	"	Yoga Narasimhaswamy	1070 9 7	181
	— " —	Pancha Vaikuntha Gopala Krishna	-1-2 6	10
	— " —	Chandravana Chamundeswara	-7 -0	3
	— " —	Memorial Chetana	-115 -7	28
	— " —	Kirangur Hazaratth Khadri Vallabha Darga	-19 12 0	7
	Nanjangud	Sri Srikanteswaraswami	9449-1 4	1478
	— " —	Hanumanpal Gopalkrishna Swami	-2 8 6	1
	Nanjanagudu	Chennakesavaswami	-282 10 3	9
	Chamaraj Nagar	Hanganur Veeraraja Swami	-49-8 11	8
	"	Arkeswaraswamy	69-10-1	8
	Yedatore	Galigekere Amriteswara	-83 6-2	4
		Total Rs		3682
Hassan	Hassan 4497-1-0	Doddahalli Lakshmi Janardhanaswami	-516-11-6	14
	Arkalgud 1107-0-0	Ramanathapura Aghateswara Swami	-1444-6-7	28
	— " —	Subramanyaswami	-602 0 5	34
		Pattabhiramaswami	-629-11 8	21
	— " —	Arkalgud Kalyani Basaveswara	-132 0 -	8
		Total C		105
Kadur	-	Nil		
Shimoga	-	Nil		

Abstract

	No. of institutions	Contribution to be levied
Kanara District	1	7
Kolar District	11	93
Tum. Kur — " —	8	63
Kadur — " —	—	—
Shimoga — " —	—	—
Chitaldroog — " —	1	5
Mysore — " —	14	31,82
Hassan — " —	5	105
Total	33	3755

Rathnaswamy
for Municipal Comm
Mau

Statement showing the names of institutions which were subjected to the percentage contribution during the triennium but not omitted, as neither a scale is sanctioned nor income exceeds Rs 100/-

Serial No	Number	District	Taluk	Name of Institution	Balance at credit			Contribution levied during last triennium	Remarks
	7	Bangalore	Bangalore	Sulikunte Immadi Devarm	11	11	0	3	
				Varthur Someshwaraswami	25	2	8	5	
				Mompi Someshwara	336	10	4	4	
				Madivala Someshwara	414	12	9	41	
				Agrahara Chennigaraya	137	5	1	5	
				Nagarthapet Chowdeshwara	23	12	8	5	
				Chickkapet Someshwara	197	9	5	10	
				Kotebagal Anjaneya	18	0	1	1	
				Basavanahalli Kempamba Devarm	87	8		18	
				Hulimavu Kodanda rama	11	0	0	16	
				Immadihalli Venkata ramana	40	8	0	15	
				Total				92	
			Chennapatna	Kuvempuri Narasimha	412	15	0	3	
				Chickkanahalli Anjaneya	84	4	3	8	
				Marlur Nadi Anjaneya	-	-	-	4	
				Marlurpattada Chowdeshwari	57	0	0	6	
				Total				21	
			Kanakapura	Harohalli Nanjundeshwara	70	1	8	8	
				Kille Venkataramana Swamy	1495	12	8	16	
				Total				22	

Anekal Taluk	Attibele Nanjundeshwara	1414 7 1	6
Doddaballapur Tk	Kille Venkataramana Swamy	227 2 1	22
Magadi Taluk	Kodihalli Basavanna	6 - 0 -	3
	D. Harohalli Panchalingeshwara	127 8 0	5
	Sirigiripura Srinivasa and Anjanaya	73 11 8	6
	Gosai Anjaneya	8 64 - 0	3
	Motagamahalli Chandrashekeshwara	148 3 - 0	12
	Hullenahalli Anjaneya	110 - 4 - 0	5
	Ramakrishnadasapalakinka	22 7 6	4
	Narsimhalli Anjaneya	40 - 4 - 0	3
	Siddaramana Mahalingeshwara	224 - 5 - 0	9
	Kalya Kalleshwara	160 - 4 - 11	5
	Kadalu Anjaneya	6 - 0 - 0	3
	Kalya —o—	22 - 0 - 10	6
	Hebbalalu —o—	59 - 2 - 0	3
	Agal Kote Shankareshwara	132 - 0 - 0	6
	Kote Rameshwara	1394 - 3 - 0	3
	Kodihalli Narasimha	—	3
	Hullenahalli Basavanna	8 4 - 0 - 0	6
	Kadalu Venkataramana	11 - 0 - 0	1 —
	Total		88
	Total Bangalore District.		252

Kol Taluk — Kolar Kasi Visweswara — 1
Parvatajanahalli grama — (5 3) — 2
Nesvara — — — 3
Kuchkote Anjanaya — 10 0 0 — 15
— — — 22

Malur Taluk — Tornahalli Lakshmana — 1 10 — 18
Lakkasandra Kodandaramana — 179 2 2 — 8
Yerandahalli Kodandaramana — 11 0 — 11 2 — 3
— — — 34

Chintamani Taluk — Yogada Kote Veerabhadra Narasimha — 61 6 11 — 11
Yerrahalli Venkataramana — 68 15 6 — 8
Siddapanahalli — Do — 21 1 1 — 1
Kodadammana Gavi Ishwara — 81 7 5 — 3
Kaivara Bheemeshwara — 68 12 5 — 5
Anjanahalli Markham — 12 0 0 — 1
— — — 33

Gauribidanur Taluk — Hirebidanur Ranganatha — 185 0 0 — 5

Srinivasapura Raya (Sub) Kasi Visweswara — — — 5

Narasinghapet Lakshmana Panchamukha — 129 0 0 — 3
Peddapalli Ganapati — 9 1 1 — 1
Lakkihalli Anjaneya — 142 10 0 — 14
— — — 13

Total Kolar District — 112-0-0

Tumkur District	Tumkur Taluk	Kolala Byala ragga-sirani	56-1-0	6
		Sobhalli Anjanega	160-12-11	4
		Hebbur-Veerabhadra	27-11-0	3
		Honnudike Janardhana	-	5
		Mudakere Somesvara	6-8-8	4
		Total		22
	Kortigere Taluk	Kortigere Gangadhareshwara	89-11-6	6
	Sira Taluk	Aralaguppe Narasimha	810-5-7	8
		Kudiranaghatta Timmalla Devaru —	281-11-6	4
		Korafodi Anjanega	1040-12-6	16
				16
	Kunigal Taluk	Holalgunda Ramanatha Varaswami	920-10-6	10
		Ranganna Anjanega	19-6-0	4
				14
	Gubbi Taluk	Thimanahalli Timmanna —	10-11-6	3
		Edgur Kalleswara	15-0-0	3
		Hindisigere Ranganatha	21-0-0	3
		Nanchandore - Do —	0-11-0	3
		Nanikuppe Anjanega	7-0-0	3
		Dodda Hedigeg Lalli Do —	0-13-6	3
		Sampige Kodi Malleswara	57-0-0	6
		Kadaba Anjanega	525-6-0	3
		— " — Yellamma	25-0-0	3
				30
	Madhugiri Taluk	Sarga Varahaswami Temple - varam —	639	9
		Total Tumkur District		99

Hiriyur Taluk	Chikka Dodda Kurubarahalli, Hanumantadevaru	206-15-1	5
Mudigere	Govinda Anjaneya	-	19
	Narasimhan Kalleshwara	8 15 -	5
			2
	Total Kadur Dist		29
Shimoga	Arabottlu Ranganatha	-	6
Honnali	Vaddinakere Nyasaraya	62-4-	3
	Hanumanta -		
	—"— Timmala Devaru	29-4-5	5
	Nyamti Ramakrishnaru	463-0-8	7
	Agrahara Keshava Devaru	182-7-5	3
	Arunachala Veerabhadra	0--0- 0	9
			23
Nagara	Hanumantha Kattemane Shankara		4
Shimoga	Srinivasa Siddeshwara	795-4-	5
Sirimane	Chikkanayaka Hanumantha	241 9 6	3
	Belagina - do -	476-2-7	5
			13
Harihalli	Kudiga Kinnakeshi Kittaladevaru	16-8 10	5
	Hanumantha Kinnaya Kamma	407-9-0	4
	Harishwareshwara	41-6-7	5
	Nanabin Venkatasamaiya	-24-0-	12
			26
	Total Shimoga District		72

Kibbanahalli Dist Chamarajanagar Taluk	Gopalaswamy Kimpatahalli	57,18	2
Holenarasipura Taluk	Kanagajjuri Siddeswara	282 11-	4
Do	Lakkikere Hanumantha	519 6-7	8
	Total Chitaldroog District		15

Mysore District Hunsur Taluk	Srikantadatta Dodda Chamaraja		35-
Hunsur Taluk	Kodandarama	538-9-6	2
Mandya	Sodalal Brahmeswara	111-11-8	4
-do-	Immadihalli Mahadeva	214-0-0	2
-do-	Belatur Someswara	127-11-6	5-
			12
Krishnarajapet Taluk	Madapura Bheemajaraja	265-13	2
-do-	Kenkeramma	1145 2-9	3
			6
Chamarajanagar Nagar Taluk	Thammathur Bhujangeswara	0-15-	5
T Narsipur Taluk	Asvatthakatte		2
Yedatore Taluk	Arjunahalli Malleswara	1169-3-9	6
	Tippur Lakshmi Narayana	321-9	4
	Hanasoge Aniveshwara	7-8-9	2
			18
Gundlupet	Hill Chetkar	0-10-0	4
	Total Mysore District		83

Hassan District	Arsikere	Gopadampura Chenna Kesava		16
-"-	-"-	Shambhulingeswara	-	7
-"-	-"-	Shankareshwara	-	3
-"-	Ganjigere	Ranganatha	45 5 b	7
				33
Hassan Taluk	Konasik	Yeleshwara	-166	12
Alur	Doddagaddavalli	Kinga etc.	-85	70
-"-	Palya	Rameshwara	120	10
				140
Holenara sipur T	Kodihalli	Anjaneya	73	4
	Mavinakere	Keshava		8
				12
Channa Rayapatna	Kalkere	Eshwara	58	4
	Gummanahalli	Kesava		3
				7
Belur Taluk	Khodavanna-		28	6
-"-	Kanagavada	Lakshmi Devi	30 12	4
-"-	Appadalu	Devanna	150	5
				15
	Total Hassan District			119

Abstract

1	Bangalore District	252 ✓
2	Kolar District	112
3	Tumkur District	97
4	Kadur District	79 ✓
5	Shimoga District	72
6	Chitaldroog District	15 ✓
7	Mysore District	88 ✓
8	Hassan District	119 ✓
	Grand Total	784

Ra/Mysore
for the Census Commissioner
1920

Statement E.

Statement of Percentage Contribution to the Nuzvid 93
Establishment Fund.

District	Taluk	Amount of Annual Contribution fixed in G.O. No. 1220-21 Nuz 341-28 Dated 31-1-28	Amount of Contribution received for 26-27, 27-28 and 28-29 Credited to Estt. Fund	Credited to Irrl. Fund under a separate item
	Opening Balance on 1-7-1926	Nil.		
	Head-quarters.			
	Contribution from State funds as per Para 5 of G.O. No 4334-43-Muz 809-18-2 Dated 17-6-20.	5% of income	3651-0-0	
	Tirupathi charities	1000-0-0	3000-0-0	
	Benarese Chattram	144-0-0	432-0-0	
	Sosale Vyasaraya mutt	850-0-0	2550-0-0	
	Sri Sivaganga mutt	538-0-0	1614-0-0	
	Total Head-quarters.	3682-0-0	11247-0-0	
Bangalore	Bangalore taluk	483-0-0	- 1449-0-0	
	Doddaballapur —	336-0-0	- 672-0-0	
	Magadi —	324-0-0	- 636-0-0	
	Nelamangala	282-0-0	- 846-0-0	
	Devanahalli	70-0-0	- 210-0-0	
	Hosakote	16-0-0	- 48-0-0	
	Kankanahalli	70-0-0	- 219-0-0	
	Channapatna	290-0-0	- 870-0-0	
	Clospet	138-0-0	- 414-0-0	
	Anekal	16-0-0	- 48-0-0	
	Total Bangalore District	1962-0-0	- 5223-0-0	
Kolar	Kolar	298-0-0	284-0-0 596-0-0	
	Malur	141-0-0	- 423-0-0	
	Siddaghatta	144-0-0	- 432-0-0	
	Chintamani	226-0-0	226-0-0 452-0-0	

Distt (Contd)				
	Gori Damur	193-0-0	193-0-0	386-0-0 +
	Chi allapur	253-0-0	-	759-0-0 +
	Bagepalli	155-0-0	-	465-0-0 +
	Gauribidnur	19-0-0	-	57-0-0 +
	Bowringpet	306-0-0	-	912-0-0 b-
	Srinivasapur	61-0-0	-	183-0-0 +
	Mulbagal	474-0-0	-	1422-0-0 +
	Total Kolar District	2270-0-0	703-0-0	6087-0-0
3 Tumkur.	Tumkur	208-0-0	624-0-0	- +
	Madhugiri	308-0-0	-	915-0-0 +
	Sira	6-0-0	-	18-0-0 +
	Pavagada	57-0-0	-	171-0-0 +
	Chickanaikanahalli	31-0-0	-	93-0-0 +
	Tiptur	154-0-0	-	462-0-0 +
	Kunigal	330-0-0	-	990-0-0 +
	Gubbi	191-0-0	-	573-0-0 +
	Total Tumkum dt.	1265-0-0	624-0-0	3222-0-0
Mysore	Mysore	653-0-0	618-0-0	1236-0-0 +
	Seringapatam	2908-0-0	8369-0-0	-
	Nanjangud	1663-0-0	185-0-0	3326-0-0 +
	Malvalli	10-0-0	-	30-0-0 \
	Hunsur	35-0-0	-	105-0-0 \ +
	Maroya	240-0-0	16-8-0	496-8-0
	Heggadadevan Kote	21-0-0	-	63-0-0 \
	Nagamangala	91-0-0	-	273-0-0 \
	Gundlupet	19-0-0	38-0-0	19-0-0 \
	Krishnarajapet	505-0-0	-	1515-0-0 \
	Channarajanagar	1749-0-0	-	5247-0-0 \
	T. Narasipur	528-0-0	158-4-0	- \

Mysore (Contd)					
	Yedatore	691-0-0	204-0-0	—	24-0-0
	Total Mysore District	9113-0-0	12859-8-0	12310-8	
Hassan	Hassan	275-0-0	—	825-0-0	✗
	Arkalgud	253-0-0	—	759-0	✗
	Manjarabad	178-0-0	—	5340-0	✗
	Holenarasipur	65-0-0	—	730-0	365-0-0
	Channarayapatna	512-0-0	—	1536-0	✗
	Arsikere	300-0-0	—	831-0	4-0-0
	Belur	318-0-0	—	934-0	
	Total Hassan District	2201-0-0	—	6154-0	
6 Shimoga	Shimoga	177-0-0	540-00	12-2-0	21-2-0
	Honnali	287-0-0	574-00 +287-00	—	✗
	Shikarpur	121-0-0	—	363-0-0	✗
	Nagar	100-0-0	96-0-0	200-0-0	4-0-0
	Sagar	377-0-0	—	1131-0-0	✗
	Sorab	226-0-0	678-00	—	✗
	Tirthahalli	1262-0-0	1254-00	2524-0-0	[illegible]
	Channagiri	87-0-0	237-00	—	2-0-0
	Total Shimoga District	2637-0-0	3666-0-0	4230-2-0	
7 Kadur	Chickmagalur	259-00	—	[illegible]	✗
	Mudigere	793-0-0	788-0-0	1586-0-0	—
	Kadur	67-0-0	—	201-0-0	
1	Kopha	671-0-0	—	2028-50	14-0
2	Narasimharajpur	20-0-0	—	40-0-0	
	Tarikere	29-0-0	24-0-0	58-0-0	
	Total Kadur District	1839-0-0	817-00	4690-50	

Chitaldrug	380-0	–	114-0-0	
Molakalmuru	50-0-0	–	150-0-0	
Hosadurga	35-0-0	–	105-0-0	
Jagalur	25-0-0	–	75-0-0	
Hiriyur	40-0-0	–	105-0-0	15-0-0
Challakere	64-0-0	–	192-0-0	
Holalkere	29-0-0	–	87-0-0	
Davangere	29-0-0	–	87-0-0	
Harihar Sub Taluk	203-0-0	–	609-0-0	
Total Chitaldrug District	513-0-0	–	1524-0-0.	

Abstract

1	Head-Quarters	3682-0-0	11247-0-0	–
2	Bangalore	1962-0-0	–	52230-0
3	Kolar	2270-0-0	703-0-0	6087-0-0
4	Tumkur	2285-0-0	624-0-0	32220-0
5	Mysore	1130-0	12859-8-0	12310-8-0
6	Hassan	2201-0-0	–	6154-0-0
7	Shimoga	637-0-0	3666-0-0	4230-2-0
8	Kadur	1839-0-0	817-0-0	4690-5-0
9	Chitaldrug	513-0-0	–	1524-0-0
	Grand Total	5502-0-0	29916-8-0	43440-15-0

or
Rs 76506-0-0
Three years.

for Mysore Commissioner

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

---.

Read.-

G.O. No. 2143-4/Muz.287-27-2 dated 23-5-28 sanctioning the revision of the Muz. Estts. and directing that the position of the Muz. Estt. Fund be reviewed at the end of 1928-29

2. Letter No. 1028/29-30 dated 18-1-30 from the Muz. Commr. submitting proposals for the continuance of the levy of contribution from the several Muz. institutions in the State for the Muz. Estt. Fund.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 1028/29-30 dated 1-7-30 from the same officer, submitting a revised statement incorporating the contributions to be collected from institutions whose annual income is not below Rs. 100/- the contribution being based on the average income of the preceding three years.

Order No. 146-7 dated 16-7-30

Mus 222-29-7

The recommendation of the Muz. Commr. for the continuance of the levy of contribution from the several Muz. institutions with an annual income of Rs. 100/- and above, is sanctioned.

Prompt action should be taken to wipe out the debit balances of some of the institutions which have now defaulted in the payment of contribution to the Fund so as to enable them to bear the Estt. charges. No institution can be exempted from payment of contribution and arrears of contribution thereon should be recovered early. The annual income of the Fund as revised will be ~~by the Muz. Commr. is~~ Rs. 27,567/- and the details are noted in the annexure to this order. The

Income from Institutions Rs. 26255
State Grant to the Fund as per 1312
above

Statements A, B, & C.

Comptroller is requested to verify the balance at the credit of the fund which is reported to be Rs. 24,464-11-1 on 30-6-1929. Clear instructions should be issued to the Treasuries for following the directions contained in G.O. No. 1220-1/Muz.341-26-6 dated 31-1-1928 and the contributions recoverable from the institutions should be credited in the accounts of the respective treasuries directly to the Head "Muzrai Establishment Fund".

The contribution for 1929-30 and 1930-31 should be adjusted during the current year.

12-7-30 Finl. Secy. to Govt.

The Contributions for 1929-30 should be adjusted in the accts. for that year and if this is not possible for any reason the Contributions for both 1929-30 & 1930-31 should be recovered in the current year.

To

The Muz. Commr. in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt.

S. O.

Government of his Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

G. O. No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Muzrai Establishment Fund.

Passes orders for the levy of contribution from the Muzrai Institutions for the ——.

READ—

Government Order No. 2143-4—Muz. 237-27-2, dated 23rd May 1928, sanctioning the revision of the Muzrai Establishments and directing that the position of the Muzrai Establishment Fund be reviewed at the end of 1928-29.

2. Letter No. 1028 of 1929-30, dated 18th January 1930, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore submitting proposals for the continuance of the levy of contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions in the State for the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 1028 of 1929-30, dated 1st July 1930, from the same officer, submitting a revised statement incorporating the contributions to be collected from institutions whose annual income is not below Rs. 100, the contribution being based on the average income of the preceding three years.

ORDER No. 146-7—MUZ. 222-29-7, DATED 15—16TH JULY 1930.

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner for the continuance of the levy of contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions with an annual income of Rs. 100 and above, is sanctioned.

Prompt action should be taken to wipe out the debit balances of some of the institutions which have now defaulted in the payment of contribution to the fund so as to enable them to bear the establishment charges. No institution can be exempted from payment of contribution and arrears of contribution from these institutions should be recovered early.

The annual income of the fund as revised will be Rs. 27,567 and (income from institutions Rs. 26 255, State grant Rs. 1,312) the details are noted in the annexures to this order. The Comptroller is requested to verify the balance at the credit of the fund which is reported to be Rs. 24,464-11-1 on 30th June 1929. Clear instructions should be issued to the Treasuries for following the directions contained in Government Order No. 1220-1—Muz. 341-26-6, dated 31st January 1928, and the contributions recoverable from the institutions should be credited in the accounts of the respective Treasuries directly to the Head "Muzrai Establishment Fund."

The contribution for 1929-30, should be adjusted in the accounts for that year and if this is not possible for any reason, the contributions for both 1929-30 and 1930-31, should be recovered in the current year.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C. K.

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, Dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from "Headquarters Institutions."

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remark
			Per cent	Rs	Rs.	
1	Tirupati Charities ..			1,000	1,000	
2	Benares Chattram ..		.	144	144	
3	Sosale Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt	..	5	850	850	
4	Sivaganga Mutt ..	..	5	538	538	
	Total Headquarter Institutions.	..	...	2,532	2,532	

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from institutions in the Bangalore District

	BANGALORE TALUK.					
1	Sri Dharmarayaswami, Ulsoorpet.	Major	5	64	64	
2	Bandi Seshamma's Choultry ..	do	5	83	83	
3	Chandramowleswaraswami, Hessarghatta.	Minor	3	6	11	
4	Chowdeswari, Chellaghatta ..	do	3	3	6	
5	Gopalaswami, Yelahanka ..	do	3	10	6	
6	Narasimhaswami, Hessarghatta.	do	3	9	8	
7	Banasankaramma, Sarabandepalya	do	3	17	22	
8	Chennigarayaswami, Vartur ..	do	3	7	18	
9	Someswara and Basavanna, Kengeri.	do	3	6	8	
10	Kasivisweswara, Krishnarajapur.	do	3	..	5	
11	Venkataramanaswami, Bangalore Fort,	do	3	9	9	
12	Someswara, Siddapur .	do	3	5	5	
13	Karanji Anjaneya, Basavangudi.	do	3	6	6	
14	Rameswara, Chamarajpet .	do	3	12	10	
15	Kadu Malleswara, Malleswaram Extension	do	3	5	5	
16	Vallabharayaswami, Vasantapur.	do	3	14	5	
17	Ranganathaswami, Ballapurpet.	do	3	14	12	
18	Kalika Kamateswara, Bangalore City	do	3	8	16	
19	Venkataramanaswami, Nagarathpet	do	3	22	15	
20	Parameswara, Dodkalsandra ..	do	3		6	
21	Channnayaswami Chikbanavar.	do	3	5	8	
22	Bettada Basavanna, Chikbanavar.	do	3	5	7	
23	Gangadhareswara, Ulsoorpet ..	do	3	4	6	
24	Kodandaramaswami, Krishnarajapur.	do	3	4	4	
25	Viswanathaswami, Gantiganahalli	do	3	6	6	
	Total Bangalore Taluk ..			324	351	
	HOSKOTE TALUK.					
1	Hoskote Arimuktheswaraswami.	Minor	3	16	16	
	Total Hoskote Taluk ..			16	16	
	DEVANHALLI TALUK. Nil.					

No. 140-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A Bangalore District—contd.

Serial No.	Name Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	DODBALLAPUR TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Sri Subramanyaswami, Ghati ..	Major.	5	306	348	
	Total Dodballapur Taluk ...			306	348	
	NELAMANGALA TALUK.					
1	Sri Gangadhareswaraswami, Sivaganga.	Major	5	248	184	
2	Sri Venkataramanaswami, Mahimapur.	do	5	..	51	
3	Sri Narasimhaswami, Halli Nijagal.	Minor	3	10	10	
4	Jumma Masjid, Nelamangala ...	do	3	8	8	
5	Sri Venkataramanaswami, Basavanahalli.	do	3	..	14	
	Total Nelamangala Total ..			266	267	
	CHANNAPATNA TALUK.					
1	Kille Varadarajaswami, Channapatna.	Major	5	37	42	
2	Sri Apprameyaswami, Mallur ..	do	5	77	67	
3	Akil Sha Khadri Darga, Channapatna	do	5	67	76	
4	Rameswara Chowdeswari, Bevoor.	Minor.	3	13	13	
5	Venkataramanaswami, Mangadihalli.	do	3	3	4	
6	Sri Janardhanaswami, Byrapatna	do	3	14	15	Reserve Fund Institutions.
7	Sri Varadarajaswami, Mungadihalli.	do	3	15	15	do
8	Narayanaswami, Mallurpatna ..	do	3	6	5	do
9	Ranganathaswami, Singarajpur.	do	3	4	3	do
10	Sri Nadi Narasimhaswami, Mallur.	do	3	..	8	do
11	Sri Chamundeswari, Mallurpatna.	do	3	..	6	do
	Total Channapatna Taluk ..			266	254	
	CLOSEPET TALUK					
1	Sri Arkeswara Prasannamba, Closepet.	Major	5	101	101	
2	Sri Ramadevaru ..	Minor	3	14	14	
3	Sri Revana Siddeswara ..	do	3	23	23	
	Total Closepet Taluk ..			138	138	

No. 146-7 - Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A. Bangalore District—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per-cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	KANKANHALLI TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Sri Ranganatha and Venkataramanaswami, Kankanhalli.	Minor	3	5	13	
2	Sri Chamundeswari, Harohalli..	do	3	...	6	
3	Arunachaleswara and Bheemeswara, Harohalli.	do	3	11	10	
4	Venkataramanaswami, Kalhalli.	Minor	3	15	5	
5	Veerabhadraswami, Sivenahalli..	do	3	...	5	
6	Narayanaswami, Aralal ..	do	3	...	3	
7	Sri Onkareswara Terubedi ..	do	3	...	5	
	Total Kankanhalli Taluk ...			31	47	
	ANEKAL TALUK.		Per cent			
1	Sri Chempakadhamaswami, Bannerghatta.	Minor	3	7	8	
2	Madduramma, Huskur ..	do	3	..	11	
3	Pattalamma, Sidi Hoskote ..	do	3	..	3	
4	Gopalaswami, Anekal ..	do	3	.	3	Reserve fund institution.
5	Karagadadevar, Bidaraguppe ..	do	3	..	3	Do
6	Kalamma and Basavanna, Vajrahalli.	do	3	..	6	do
7	Ramadevaru, Naranaghatta ..	do	3	..	3	do
8	Channakeswaraswami, Haragadde	do	3	..	7	do
	Total Anekal Taluk ..	..	..	7	44	
	MAGADI TALUK					
1	Tirumale Ranganathaswami ..	Major	5	120	120	
2	Someswaraswami, Magadi ..	Minor	3	18	25	
3	Ranganathaswami, Dodmudageri	do	3	17	22	
4	Thimmarayaswami, Mannigana Halli.	do	3	...	11	
5	Lakshmi Devi, Bagangeri ...	do	3	...	10	
	Total Magadi Taluk ..	..	..	155	188	
	ABSTRACT.					
	Bangalore	..	..	324	351	
	Hoskote	..	..	16	16	
	Devanhalli	..	..	..	...	
	Dodballapur	..	..	306	348	
	Nelamangala	..	..	266	267	
	Channapatna	..	..	266	254	
	Closepet	..	..	138	138	
	Kankanhalli	..	..	31	47	
	Anekal	..	..	7	44	
	Magadi	..	..	155	188	
	Total ..	...	..	1,509	1,653	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from institutions whose scales have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Kolar District.

Serial No.	Name of institution	Class	Rate per-cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	KOLAR TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Makbara, at Kolar ..	Major	5	168	198	
2	Pete Venkataramanaswami Bhajanemane, Kolar.	Minor	6¼	3	12	
3	Sri Someswara and Kolaramma, Kolar.	do	3	9	11	
4	Prasanna Nanjundeswara, Kolar	do	3	3	3	
5	Chendrasekhara and Anjaneya, Kyalanur.	do	3	20	25	
6	Markandeswaraswami, Vokkaleri	Major	5	30	73	
7	Lakshminarayanaswami, Holur	Minor	3	..	8	
8	Asur Sheriff Makkan, Manjenahalli.	do	3	4	4	
9	Papanaseswara, Vakkaleri ...	do	3	..	21	Res
10	Prasanna Kasivisweswara, Kolar	do	6¼	14	14	ins
11	Sripathewaraswami, Seethi ..	do	3	17	24	
12	Dodapranadevar and Pundarikaksha Anjaneya, Kolar ..	do	6¼	..	11	
	Total Kolar Taluk ..	..	..	268	404	
	BOWRINGPET TALUK.					
1	Venkataramanaswamy, Guttahalli.	Major	5	154	234	
2	Kodandaramaswamy, Bowringpet.	do	5	123	151	
3	Arkeswara, Bethamangala ..	Minor	3	5	8	
4	Swayambheswara, Madivala ..	do	3	5	5	
5	Vijendraswamy, Bethamangala	do	3	6	3	
	Total Bowringpet Taluk ..	..	..	293	401	
	MULBAGAL TALUK.					
1	Mulbagal, Anjaneyaswamy ..	Major	5	131	115	
2	Ramalingeswaraswamy, Avani..	do	5	117	140	
3	Avani Mutt, Avani ..	do	5	150	150	
4	Someswaraswamy, Mulbagal ..	Minor	3	6	9	
5	Anjaneyaswamy, Gudipalli ..	do	3	4	5	
6	Virupaksheswara-Virupaksha, Guttahalli.	do	3	4	14	
	Total Mulbagal Taluk ..	..	..	412	433	
	BAGEPALLI TALUK.					
1	Mittamari, Lakshminarasimha	Minor	3	10	14	
2	Bagepalli, Lakshminarasimha..	do	3		7	
3	Gadidam, Venkataramanaswamy	Major	5	145	145	
	Total Bagepalli Taluk ..	.	..	155	165	

No 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A. Kolar District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of institution	Class	Rate per-cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	SRINIVASAPUR TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Someswara, Dimbala ..	Minor	3	10	4	
2	Ronur, Venkataramanaswamy..	do	3	15	15	
3	Mutakapalli, Eswara and Chodeswari	do	3	5	4	
4	Gounapalli, Gopalaswami ..	do	3	4	4	
5	Gudibande, Venkataramana-swami	do	3	5	4	
6	Dimbala, Chowdeswari ..	do	3	..	6	
7	Valagerahalli, Dattatreya	do	3	..	10	
8	Srinivasapur, Lakshminarasimha and Sreekanteswara.	do	$6\frac{1}{4}$	..	8	
9	Yeldur, Shankaranarayana and Someswara	do	3	4	4	
	Total Srinivasapur Taluk	..	..	43	59	
	CHINTAMANI TALUK.					
1	Umamaheswara and Venkata-ramanaswamy at Chintamani.	Major	5	71	71	
2	Singarapalli, Narasimhaswami ..	Minor	3	10	10	
3	Alamgeri Venkataramanaswamy	do	3	24	20	
4	Konakutlu Venkataramanaswamy	do	3	14	14	
5	Kambalapalli, Garigedevar ..	do	3	6	5	
6	Kaivara Amaranarayana .	do	3	8	5	
7	Kotagal Kodandaramaswamy and Anjaneya.	do	3	3	3	
8	Eragampalli, Maheswariamma..	do	3	5	5	
9	Konapalli, Chattram ..	Major	$6\frac{1}{4}$	44	44	
10	Oolavadi Venugopalaswamy ..	Minor	3	8	15	
	Total Chintamani Taluk ..	..	...	193	192	
	SUB GUDIBANDA TALUK.					
1	Yellodu Audinarayana ..	Major	5	19	58	
	Total Gudibanda Sub Taluk ..	..	..	19	58	
	MALUR TALUK.					
1	Chik Tirupathi Venkataramana-swami	Major	5	65	65	
2	Malur Kodandaramaswami ..	Minor	3	18	18	
3	Malur Shankaranarayana ..	do	3	10	11	
4	Lakkur Someswara and Basa-veswara.	do	3	5	5	Reserve fund institution.
5	Narasapur, Lakshminarayana ..	do	3	9	3	do
6	Masti Bhoganandiswara ..	do	3	..	5	do
7	Nadirmangala Anjaneyaswami	do	3	..	3	do
8	Dodmale, Gopalaswami ..	do	3	..	3	do
9	Taykal Someswaraswami ..	do	3	..	18	do
10	Taykal Masgid ..	do	3	..	3	do
	Total Malur Taluk ..	..	..	107	134	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Kolar District—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	SIDLAGHATTA TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Talakayalubetta Venkataramanaswami.	Major	5	131	131	
2	Devaramallur Someswara ..	Minor	3	5	10	
3	Sidlaghatta Venugopala ..	do	3	8	8	
4	Sidlaghattada Someswara ..	do	3	..	7	
	Total Sidlaghatta Taluk ..	..	..	144	156	
	GORIBIDNUR TALUK.					
1	Dodkurgod Viduraswatha ...	Major	5	150	150	
2	Varavani Anjaneya ..	Minor	3	3	3	
3	Vatadahosahalli Chennakesava.	do	3	5	4	
4	Hosur Lakshmi Mahadeva and Anjaneya.	do	3	7	5	
5	Namagondalu Venkataramanaswami and Stambada Narasimha.	do	3	4	3	
6	Darinayakanapalya Venkataramana.	do	3	6	6	
7	Manchenahalli Venkataramana and Anjaneya.	do	3	4	7	
8	Hirebidnur Basaveswara ..	do	6¼	5	9	
9	Halkur Someswara ..	do	3	..	5	
10	Chikka Hosahalli Ranganatha..	do	3	..	4	
11	Mudagere Chennakeshava ..	do	3	..	6	
12	Hirebidnur Hussein Sha Darga..	do	3	..	9	
	Total Goribidnur Taluk ..	..	..	184	211	
	CHIKBALLAPUR TALUK.					
1	Nandi Bhoga Nandiswara, etc,...	Major	5	194	122	
2	Chikballapur Local Fund Chattram.	do	6¼	34	34	
3	Sultanpet Anjaneya ..	Minor	3	5	5	
4	Chikballapur Pete Ramadevar..	do	3	5	5	
5	Rangasthalada Ranganatha ..	do	3	12	25	
	Total Chikballapur Taluk ..	..	..	250	191	
	ABSTRACT.					
1	Kolar Taluk	..	...	268	404	
2	Bowringpet Taluk ..	..	..	293	401	
3	Mulbagal do ..	...	..	412	433	
4	Bagepalli do ..	..	..	155	166	
5	Srinivaspur do ..	...	..	43	59	
6	Chintamani do ..	..	..	193	192	
7	Malur do ..	..	..	107	134	
8	Sidlaghatta do ..	..	..	144	156	
9	Goribidnur do ..	..	..	184	211	
10	Chikballapur do ..	..	..	250	191	
11	Gudibanda Sub-Taluk ..	..	..	19	58	
	Grand Total Kolar District ..	..	..	2,068	2,405	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A—Statement showing the percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from institutions whose scales have been sanctioned by competant authority in the Tumkur District.

Serial No	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triunnium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	TUMKUR TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Devarayadurg Sri Lakshminarasimhaaswamy	Major	5	67	53	
2	Garagadakuppe Anjaneya ..	Minor	3	24	24	
3	Hebbur Byatarayaswami ..	do	3	10	7	
4	Sirivarada Anjaneya ..	do	3	6	5	
5	Honasigere Veerabhadra ..	do	3	6	5	
6	Ariyur Vidyanatheswara	do	3	4	4	
7	Ragimuddanahalli Sri Narasimhaswami.	do	3	4	3	
8	Halanur Malleswara .	do	3	8	5	
9	Hettenahalli Maramma ..	do	3	7	6	
10	Honnudike Honnadevamma ..	do	3	6	6	
11	Kaidala Channigaraya ..	do	3	14	11	
12	Holakel Tirumaladevaru ..	do	3		6	
	Total Tumkur Taluk ..	..	..	156	135	
	KUNIGAL TALUK.					
1	Yediyur Siddalingeswara ..	Major	5	60	61	
2	Bandihalli Damodardoss Charities.	do	5	175	175	
3	Herur Lakshminarayanaswami..	Minor	3	17	17	
4	Bhaktarahalli Anjaneya .	do	3	3	3	
5	Gowdagere Channigarayaswami.	do	3	3	4	
6	Sanaba Rameswara and Basavanna.	do	3	4	4	
7	Koothandahalli Ranganatha ..	do	3	6	6	
8	Hutri Ranganatha .	do	3	5	7	
9	Yediyur Honnadevamma and Chowdeswari	do	3	5	5	
10	Manavalli Tirumaladevar .	do	3	3	4	
11	Kallanaikanahalli Ranganatha.	do	3	5	5	
12	Keggere Narasimha ..	do	3	4	4	
13	Keggere Siddalingeswara ..	do	3	4	4	
14	Somadevarapalya Someswara .	do	3	4	4	
15	Jinnagere Rameswara and Tirumaladevaru.	do	3	6	11	
16	Yediyur Varadaraja ..	do	3	3	3	
17	Kunigal Padmeswara ..	do	3	..	5	
18	Kunigal Ganapathi ..	do	3	..	7	
19	Kunigal Saraswatiamma ..	do	3	..	12	
20	Kunigal Kambada Rangasami..	do	3	.	5	
21	Kunigal Tirumaladevaru ..	do	3	..	5	
22	Neerbagalu Anjaneya	do	3	..	5	
23	Nagasandra Kalleswara	do	3		7	
24	Kadagihalli Narasimha ..	do	3	.	5	
25	Sondalgere Narasimha ..	do	3	.	5	
	Total Kunigal Taluk			307	373	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July. 1930.

Statement A—Tumkur District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	SIRA TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Sri Narayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami.	Minor	3	6	13	
	Total Sira Taluk ...	..	..	6	13	
	MADHUGIRI TALUK.					
1	Madhugiri Mallinathaswami Jain Basti.	Minor	3	8	8	
2	Madhugiri Venkataramanaswami	do	3	3	4	
	Total Madhugiri Taluk ...	..	..	11	12	
	KORTAGERE TALUK					
1	Kyamenahalli Anjaneyaswami..	Major	5	250	237	
2	Dodsaggere Narasimhaswami ..	Minor	3	10	13	
3	Holavanahalli Sri Ramadevar ...	do	3	12	10	
4	Rampur Venkataramanaswami..	do	3	10	6	
	Total Kortagere Taluk ...	..	..	282	266	
	PAVAGADA TALUK.					
1	Nagalmadike Sri Subbramanyaswami.	Major	5	57	59	
	Total Pavagada Taluk ..	..	..	57	59	
	TURUVEKERE SUB-TALUK.					
1	Chikkasettikere Kambada Narasimhaswami.	Minor	3	8	6	
2	Turuvekere Sri Byatarayaswami.	do	3	3	12	
	Total Turuvekere Sub-Taluk .	..	..	11	18	
	CHIKNAYAKANHALLI TALUK.					
1	Haleyur Anjaneyaswami .	Minor	3	13	12	
2	Settikere Yogamadhava and Kalabhairavaswami.	do	3	6	7	
3	Kuppur Malleswaraswami ..	do	3	4	5	
4	Dabbaghatta Marulasiddeswara.	Minor	3	4	4	
5	Settikere Kalleswaraswami ..	do	3	4	3	
6	Chiknaikanhalli Brahmapuri, Anjaneya.	do	3	..	4	
7	Buragahalli Narasimha ..	do	3	..	5	
8	Yelenadu Siddarameswara ...	do	3	..	5	
	Total Chiknaikanhalli Taluk ..	..	..	31	45	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Tumkur District—*concld.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	TIPTUR TALUK.	Minor	Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Besige Siddeswara and Vinayaka.	do ..	3	6	6	
2	Honnavalli Lakshminarayana-swami	do ..	3	3	6	
3	Nonavinakere Byatarayaswami.	do ..	3	4	4	
4	Honnavalli Kailaseswara ..	do ..	3	13	12	
5	Honnavalli Byredevaru ...	do ..	3	5	8	
6	Hatyal Narasimhaswami ..	Major	5	75	44	
7	Baluvanerlu Anjaneyaswami ..	Minor	3	9	6	
8	Hatna Udusalamma ..	do ...	3	..	3	
9	Albur Kempammadevaru ..	do ...	3	..	4	
	Total ..	...	..	115	93	
	GUBBI TALUK.					
1	Chelur Manchadamma and Karagadamma.	do ..	3	9	5	
2	Chelur Byalada Rangaswami ..	do ..	3	9	5	
3	Gubbi Byatarayaswami ..	do ..	3	6	6	
4	Chitnahalli Lakshminarayana and Anjaneya.	do ...	3	9	9	
5	Sampige Venkataramanaswami.	do ..	3	6	7	
6	Dandinasivara Honnadevamma.	do ...	3	6	6	
7	Sampige Jain Basti ..	do ..	3	4	4	
8	Edagur Anjaneyaswami ..	do ...	3	3	3	
9	Narnahalli Byatarayaswami .	do ...	3	3	3	
10	Chelur Dhristeswaraswami ..	do ...	3	15	16	
11	Mavinahalli Ranganathaswami.	do ...	3	3	5	
12	Gorgihalli Byredevar and Basavanna.	do ...	3	5	4	
13	Mavinahalli Siddeswara ..	do ...	3	9	9	
14	Kallur Vasudevaru ..	do ...	3	12	12	
15	Kallur Kalleswara and Bairedevaru.	do ..	3	9	9	
16	Nittur Jain Basti ..	do ..	3	3	12	
17	Chelur Byredevaru ..	do ...	3	5	5	
18	Seegehalli Lakshmidevar ..	do ..	3	3	5	
19	Dodachengari Narasimha ..	do ..	3	..	4	
20	Gubbi Sri Malleswara ...	do ...	3	..	7	
21	Mukanahallipatna Lakshminarasimhaswami.	do ...	3	..	26	
22	Pura Kalleswara ..	do ..	3	..	13	
	Total Gubbi Taluk ..	..	..	119	175	
	ABSTRACT.					
1	Tumkur	..	..	156	135	
2	Kunigal	..	..	311	373	
3	Sira	..	..	6	13	
4	Madhugiri	..	..	11	12	
5	Kortagere	..	..	282	266	
6	Pavagada	..	..	57	59	
7	Turuvekere Sub-Taluk ..	..	..	11	18	
8	Chiknaikanahalli	..	..	31	45	
9	Tiptur	..	..	115	93	
10	Gubbi	..	..	119	175	
	Total ..	..	..	1,099	1,189	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from Institutions, whose scales have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Mysore District.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	MYSORE TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Chikmunisami Chetty's Chattram.	Major	5	45	36	
2	Bomiah's Chattram ..	do ..	5	46	40	
3	Chikbasamiahs Chattram ..	do ..	5	50	50	
4	Prasanna Nanjundeswara, Santhepet.	do ..	5	179	179	
5	Chendramouleswara Siddalingapur.	do ..	5	65	71	
6	Jumma Masjid, Mysore City ..	do ..	5	105	105	
7	Sri Manommaneswara ..	Minor	3	23	22	
8	Mahadeswara at Varuna ..	do ..	3	22	22	
9	Mahalingeswara Lingambudi ..	do ..	3	22	22	
10	Jain Basti Mysore ..	do ..	3	16	16	
11	Sri Venkataramanaswami Bandikere.	do ..	3	14	13	
12	Sri Kodandaramaswami Mysore City.	do ..	3	9	10	
13	Nava Masjid Mysore City ..	do ..	3	9	9	
14	Anjaneyaswami Mysore City Navashahoa.	do ..	3	...	6	
	Total, Mysore Taluk ..	..	..	605	601	
	MANDYA TALUK.					
1	Mandya Janardhanaswami ..	Major	5	56	49	
2	Maddur Narasimhaswami ..	do ..	5	98	70	
3	Mandya Basaveswara ..	Minor	3	5	4	
4	Kergodu Panchalingeswara ...	do ..	3	7	7	
5	Kirangur Varadaraja ...	do ..	3	5	8	
6	Besagarhalli Kaivalya Rameswara.	do ..	3	10	9	
7	Nelavagilu Narasimhadevar ..	do ..	3	9	9	
8	Honakere Arkeswara ..	do ..	3	9	9	
9	Halligere Venkatramanaswamy.	do ..	3	4	4	
10	Guttalu Arkeswara ..	do ..	3	3	3	
11	Maddur Holekere, Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	...	16	
12	Kotaballi Baire Devar ...	do ..	3	..	4	
	Total Mandya Taluk ..	...	...	206	192	
	T.-NARSIPUR TALUK.					
1	Tirumakudlu Gunjanarasimhaswami.	Major	5	67	56	
2	Do Agastheswaraswami ..	do ..	5	240	169	
3	Talkad Vaideswaraswami ..	do ..	5	100	79	
4	Sosale Honnadevi Veerabhadra.	do ..	5	22	22	
5	Bannur Ramadevar ..	Minor	3	7	10	
6	Srirangarajapurada Venugopala.	do .	3	15	22	
7	Mugur Deseswara ..	do ..	3	14	14	
8	Do Tripurasundariamma ..	do ..	3	26	46	
9	Basavapur Mahabaleswara ..	do ..	3	6	6	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Mysore District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	T.-Narsipur Taluk—*contd.*	Minor	per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
10	Talkad, Keerthi Narayana ..	do ..	3	14	14	
11	Ukkalagere Mallikarjuna ..	do ..	3	7	7	
12	Muttali Ammleswara ..	do ..	3	7	7	
13	Gargeswari Gargeswara ..	do ..	3	...	4	
	Total T.-Narsipur Taluk ..	..	...	525	456	
	NANJANGUD TALUK					
1	Konanur Gouripatheswara ..	Major	5	50	41	
2	Nanjangud Chamundeswari-amma.	Minor	3	17	19	
3	Kalale Lakshmikantaswami ..	Major	5	35	35	
4	Nanjangud Khasa Nagarkatte ...	Minor	3	5	5	
5	Kathwadipurada Gopalakrishna and Krishnarajeswar.	do ..	3	19	25	
6	Tagadur Ankanatheswara ..	do ..	3	4	4	
7	Hullahalli Varadaraja ..	do ..	3	3	3	
8	Hadinaru Chennigaraya ..	do ..	3	14	20	
9	Rampur Rameswara ...	do ..	3	7	7	
10	Hulimaru Maremma ...	do ..	3	8	6	
11	Hura Mallikarjuna ...	do ..	3	6	6	
12	Karya Siddeswara ..	do ..	3	...	9	
13	Chikaina Chattrada Prasanna Nanjundeswara.	do ..	3	...	8	
14	Tayur Trineswara ..	do ..	3	..	6	
15	Immavu Ramadevar ..	do ..	3	...	5	
16	Hadinaru Rameswara ..	do ..	3	...	5	
17	Magalur Shambulingeswara ..	do ..	3	...	6	
18	Chamlapur Lokeswara ..	do ..	3	...	4	
19	Tibbanahalli Basavanna ..	do ..	3	...	5	
20	Nanjangud Narayanaswami ..	do ..	3	6	6	
	Total Nanjangud Taluk ..	...	...	174	225	
	GUNDLUPET TALUK.					
1	Paravasudevar ...	Minor	3	5	4	
	Total Gundlupet Taluk ..	..		5	4	
	HUNSUR TALUK (INCLUDING PERIYAPATNA.)					
1	Hunsur Lakshminarasimhaswamy.	do ..	3	5	11	
2	Bettadapur Mallikarjunaswamy.	do ..	3	23	16	
3	Periapatna Masanikamma ..	do ..	3	4	6	
4	Hoskote Anjaneyaswamy ..	do ..	3	..	15	
	Total Hunsur Taluk ..	..		32	48	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Mysore District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	HEGGADDEVANKOTE.		per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Kundur Chikdeveramma ..	Minor	3	8	6	
2	Malali Chikka and Dodda Basti	do ..	3	3	4	
3	Buchanahalli Venkatramana ..	do ..	3	3	4	
4	Magge Baneswara ..	do ..	3	7	7	
	Total Heggaddevankote Taluk ..	..		21	21	
	NAGAMANGALA TALUK.					
1	Nagamangala Yoganarasimha ..	Minor	3	7	8	
2	Devalapur Lakshmikantaswamy	do ..	3	17	17	
3	Kote Bettada Venkatramana-swamy.	do ..	3	5	5	
4	Nagamangalada Badagudamma	do ..	3	3	4	
5	Bindiganavale Garudadevar and Keshavadevar.	do .	3	10	10	
6	Bellur Audimadhavaraya ..	do ..	3	9	9	
7	Bellur Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	5	5	
8	Devalapurada Gangavisweswara	do ..	3	14	4	
9	Bhimanahalli Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	5	5	
10	Ankanahalli Narasimhaswamy and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	4	4	
11	Honnavarada Honnadevi and Siddeswar.	do ..	3	4	4	
12	Doduppalada Veerabhadra and Kalamma.	do ..	3	4	4	
13	Honnavarada Laksmikantaswamy	do ..	3	..	12	
14	Aliasandrada Narasimhaswami	do ..	3	..	6	
15	Mylarapatnada Mylaralingeswar	do ..	3	..	7	
16	Kadagabal Rameswara ..	do ..	3	..	3	
17	Doduppalada Chennigaraya ..	do ...	3	..	4	
18	Tiruganahalli Venkatramana ..	do ..	3	..	3	
19	Lalahakere Achalaparameswara	do ..	3	..	4	
20	Bindiganavale Nagaieswara ..	do ..	3	..	12	
	Total Nagamangala Taluk ..	..		87	130	
	SERINGAPATAM TALUK.		per cent			
1	Pete Narayanaswami, Sriranga-patam.	Major	5	136	148	
2	Venkatramanaswami Karighatta	do ..	5	47	51	
3	Gosaighat Kasivisveswara ..	do ..	5	136	132	
4	Laksminarasimhaswami, Sri-rangapatam	do ..	5	119	117	
5	Gopalakrishnaswami, Chendagal	Minor	3	12	16	
6	Narasimhaswami, Belagula ..	do ..	3	6	6	
7	Gunjanarasimhaswami, Mani-karnikeghat.	do ..	3	3	3	
8	Narayanaswami—Hosahalli ..	do ...	3	11	13	
9	Pandurangaswami, Srirangapa-tam.	do ..	3	6	13	
10	Maradi Lingeswara, Maralegala	do ..	3	8	19	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Mysore District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Seringapatam Taluk—*concld*		per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
11	Prasanna Nanjundeswara, Subbarayankoppal	Minor	3	6	6	
12	Yekkan Sha Buddan Sha Makkan, Hirode	do ..	3	4	5	
13	Salai Masgood Darga, Tonnur	do ..	3	5	5	
14	Gumbuz at Ganjam ...	Major	5	355	355+355	
15	Tonnur Gopalakrishnaswami, etc.	do ..	5	85	72	
	Total Seringapatam Taluk ..	..	..	939	961+355	
	YEDATORE TALUK.					
1	Sri Ramadevar, Chunchinkatte	Major	5	378	286	
2	Sri Arkeswaradevar, Yedatore ..	do ..	5	41	36	
3	Sri Krishnadevar, at Chendagal	Minor	3	5	5	
4	Durga Parameswari, Hampapura	do ..	3	8	8	
5	Basaveswara, Sakkare ..	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Anjaneyaswami, Bommanahalli	do ..	3	5	5	
7	Mukhyapranadevar, Hansoge ..	do ..	3	15	15	
8	Someswaraswamy, Sakkere ..	do ..	3	5	5	
9	Anjaneyaswamy, Yedatore ..	do ..	3	4	4	
10	Hadya Veerabhadradevar ..	do ..	3	8	8	
11	Gopalakrishnadevar, Hosur ..	do	3	13	13	
12	Nadidada Anjaneya, Hansoge	do ..	3	15	7	
13	Baneswara, Manchenahalli ..	do ..	3	8	8	
14	Basaveswara, Hadya ..	do ..	3	12	12	
15	Sri Yoganarasimha, Saligram	Major	5	105	105	
16	Amruteswara, Seegavalu ..	Minor	3	3	3	
17	Rameswara, Kartalu ..	do ..	3	4	4	
18	Deveeramma, Tandre ..	do .	3	12	12	
19	Gramadevathy. Sakkere .	do ..	3	4	4	
20	Sri Kodandarama. Mirle ..	do ..	3	5	5	
21	Gopalakrishna, Kestur ..	do ..	3	3	3	
22	Basaveswara, Kamenahalli ..	do ..	3	4	4	
23	Veerabhadra, Madhuvanahalli ..	do	3	4	4	
24	Basaveswara, Arjunahalli ..	do ..	3	..	6	
25	Arimukteswara, Hansoge ..	do ..	3	..	8	
26	Shambulingeswara, Melur ..	do ..	3	..	7	
	Total Yedatore Taluk ..	..		666	582	
	CHAMRAJNAGAR TALUK.					
1	Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy ..	Major	5	1,620	1,620	
2	Guddadamutt Veerabhadra ..	do ..	5	63	52	
3	Lakshminarayanaswamy Mangala	Minor	3	4	5	
4	Venkatramanaswamy, Venkatiahnachattram.	do ..	3	12	12	
5	Diryalingeswara, Hasadanahalli	do ..	3	8	8	
6	Veerabhadra, Chamrajnagar ..	do ..	3	4	4	
7	Anjaneyaswamy, Haralkote ..	do .	3	3	3	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Mysore District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Chamrajnagar Taluk—*concld.*		per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
8	Gopalakrishnaswamy, Haradanahalli.	Minor	3	5	5	
9	Someswara Kulgana ..	do ..	3	3	5	
10	Chamrajnagar, Basti ..	do ..	3	3	4	
11	Janardhana, Harlakote, Ramasamudra.	do ..	3	5	3	
	Total Chamrajnagar ..	...	..	1,730	1,721	
	MALVALLI TALUK.					
1	Sri Laksminarasimha, Marihalli	Minor	3	10	11	
	Total Malvalli Taluk ..	..	..	10	11	
	KRISHNARAJPETE TALUK.					
1	Venkatramanaswamy, Hemagiri	Major	5	150	150	
2	Malleswaraswamy, Krishnarajpet	do ..	5	128	73	
3	Sri Laksmi Narayanaswamy, Hosaholalu.	do ..	5	22	56	
4	Mr. Subb Roa's Chattram, Kikkeri.	do ..	6¼	56	56	
5	Laksminarasimha and Anjaneya, Akki-Hebbal.	Minor	3	27	27	
6	Mahalakshmi, Kannambadi ..	do ..	3	15	15	
7	Gopalaswami, Kannambadi ..	do ..	3	3	3	
8	Narayanaswami and Gopalaswami, Krishnarajpet.	do ..	3	7	7	
9	Srikanteswaraswami, Bandihole	do .	3	6	5	
10	Keshavaswamy and Anjaneya at Mandagere	do ..	3	8	8	
11	Lakshmidevi, Vaddargudi ..	do ..	3	9	9	
12	Konkaneswara, Akkihebbal .	do ..	3	5	5	
13	Mahalingeswara, Sante Bachahalli.	do ..	3	6	22	
14	Anjaneyaswami Sante Bachahalli.	do .	3	4	4	
15	Laksminarayana, Sindaghatta ..	do ..	3	5	4	
16	Kadahemmige Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	6	5	
17	Lakkanahalli Shambulingeswar	do ..	3	5	5	
18	Sri Ramadevar, Dodkyatanahalli.	do ..	3	3	5	
19	Anjaneyaswami Madapura ...	Minor	3	5	4	
20	Do Billenahalli ..	do ..	3	3	3	
21	Someswara, Sasalu ..	do ..	3	6	5	
22	Lakshmidevi, Sindaghatta ..	do ..	3	3	3	
23	Ramachandradevar and Anjaneya, at Chinkurli.	do	3	3	8	
24	Veerabhadra, Govindanahalli ..	do ..	3	..	5	
25	Arkeswaradevar, Chikkalale .	do ..	3	..	4	
26	Sri Narayanaswami, Ahalya ..	do .	3	..	4	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Mysore District *concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Krishnarajpete Taluk—*contd.*		per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
27	Malleswaraswami and Bhairava Ahalya.	Minor...	3	...	5	
28	Katte Parameswari, Mandagere	do ..	3	...	7	
	Total Krishnarajapete Taluk ...	..	..	485	507	
	ABSTRACT.					
1	Mysore Taluk	..	..	605	601	
2	Mandya Taluk	..	..	206	192	
3	T. Narasipur Taluk ..	..	..	525	456	
4	Nanjangud Taluk	..	...	174	225	
5	Gundlepet Taluk	..	..	5	4	
6	Hunsur (including Periapatna)	..	...	32	48	
7	Heggaddevankote Taluk ..	..	..	21	21	
8	Nagamangala Taluk ...	..	..	87	130	
9	Seringapatam Taluk ..	..	..	939	961 +355	
10	Yedatore Taluk	..	..	666	582	
11	Chamrajanagar Taluk ..	..	..	1,730	1,721	
12	Malvalli Taluk . ..	..	..	10	11	
13	Krishnarajapete Taluk ...	..	...	485	507	
	Total Mysore District ..	..	..	5,485	5,459 +355	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

HASSAN DISTRICT—STATEMENT A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from Institutions, whose scales have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Hassan District.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	HASSAN TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Hassan Virupaksheswara ...	Minor	3	8	10	
2	Hassan Sri Lakshmi Channakesava	do ...	3	8	10	
3	Agile Channakesavaswami ..	do ..	3	4	4	
4	Kangal Kasavadevar and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	12	12	
5	Salagame Channakesava ...	do ..	3	4	4	
6	Kondjji Varadaraja and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	6	5	
7	Sanka Channakesava ..	do ..	3	6	6	
8	Kadadarvalli Basti ..	do ..	3	6	6	
9	Dudda Bisalahalliamma ...	do ..	3	8	8	
10	Mudageri Yoganarasimha and Anjaneya	do ..	3	6	6	
11	Muttati Bhuteswara ..	do ..	3	6	6	
12	Grama Channakesava, Narasimhaswami and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	21	22	
13	Kowsika Lakshmi Channakesava and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	..	8	
14	Markuli Rangaswami ...	do ..	3	6	6	
15	Kattaya Gopalarkishna ..	do ..	3	3	3	
16	Do Rameswara ...	do ..	3	4	3	
17	Gorur Yoganarasimha ..	do ..	3	18	18	
18	Muddanahalli Bettada Rangaswami and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	4	4	
19	Banavasi Anjaneya ..	do ...	3	5	6	
20	Avverahalli Malleswara ...	do ..	3	4	4	
21	Chengaravalli Rameswara, Etc...	do ..	3	4	4	
22	Buvanahalli Channakesava ..	do ...	3	..	3	
23	Do Deveramma, Vinayaka Basavanna and Durgaparameswari.	do ..	3	..	3	
24	Tejur Anjaneya	do ..	3	..	3	
25	Chickkadlur Channigaraya and Lakshminarayana.	do ..	3	..	4	
26	Mylnahalli Rangaswami ..	do ..	3	...	3	
27	Heragu Keerti Narayana ...	do ..	3	...	3	
28	Hongeri Basti Vardhamaneswara.	do ..	3	..	4	
29	Kattaya Shakthi Devate ...	do ..	3	...	3	
30	Golenahalli Veerabhadra ...	do ..	3	..	3	
31	Hassan Nirbagal Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	6	
32	Chikknahalli Rangaswami ...	do ...	3	...	3	
	Total Hassan Taluk ..			143	193	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Hassan District *contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	ALUR SUB-TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Nallur Channigarayaswami ..	Minor	3	6	6	
2	Palya Lakshmi Janardhana ..	do ..	3	15	27	
3	Kanathur Durgamma ..	do ..	3	5	5	
4	Chetnahalli Rameswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
5	Adabailu Ranganathaswami .	do	3	18	18	
6	Gangara Lakshminarayana ..	do ..	3	6	4	
7	Muttige Kesava and Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	7	7	
8	Kadabagal Kalleswara ..	do ..	3	..	4	
9	Muttige Chandakkamma ..	do ..	3	4	4	
	Total Alur Sub-Taluk ..			66	80	
	ARSIKERE TALUK.					
1	Tirupathi Venkataramanaswami	Major	5	153	153	
2	Harnahalli Someswaraswami ...	Minor	3	5	8	
3	Undiganal Chowdeswari ..	do ..	3	8	7	
4	Yelavare Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	8	4	
5	Bettadapur Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	6	10	
6	Gandasi Kesavaswami ..	do ..	3	17	6	
7	Gandasi Sambulingeswara ...	do ...	3	29	9	
8	Nerlige Kesavadevar ..	do ..	3	5	5	
9	Javagal Lakshminarasimhaswami.	do ..	3	5	5	
10	Gandasi Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	5	6	
11	Chick Gandasi Kesava ..	do ..	3	8	7	
12	Harnahalli Kesavadevar ..	do ..	3	13	12	
13	Kanakatte Lakshminarayana ..	do .	3	..	3	
14	Arsikere Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	3	
	Total Arsikere Taluk ...			262	238	
	MANJARABAD TALUK.					
1	Sri Sakaleswaraswami ..	Minor	3	15	15	
2	Jodi Harihalli Kenchamma ...	do ..	3	17	17	
3	Barahazzar Santharpane ..	Major	5	103	175	
4	Sullakki Kudarangi Veerabhadra	Minor	3	4	4	
5	Kirahalli Channakesava ..	do ..	3	4	3	
6	Subhramanya Subhramanyaswami. ..	Major	5	35	42	
	Total Manjarabad Taluk ..			178	256	
	ARKALGUD TALUK.					
1	Ramanathpur Sri Rameswara ..	Minor ..	3	34	20	
2	Arkalgud Lakshminarasimhaswami	do ..	3	10	14	
3	Do Amruteswara ..	do ..	3	11	6	
4	Basavanahalli Basavanna .	do ..	3	8	8	
5	Keralapur Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	12	12	

No. 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Hassan District.—*contd.*

Serial number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Arkalgud Taluk.—*concld.*		Percent	Rs.	Rs.	
6	Kerlapur Ganapathi ..	Minor..	3	5	4	
7	Basavapatnada Lakshmikanta ..	do ..	3	5	5	
8	Hulikal Venkataramana ..	do .	3	15	15	
9	Sambanathapur Sambanatheswara . ..	do ..	3	5	3	
10	Basavanahalli Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	...	6	
11	Ganjalgud Malleswara ..	do ..	3	...	3	
12	Kerlapur Veerabhadra ..	do ..	3	27	27	
13	Haradur Ranganathaswami ..	do ..	3	30	30	
	Total Arkalgud Taluk ..			162	153	
	HOLE-NARSIPUR TALUK.					
1	Chaturbhuja Pattabhi Rama ..	Major.	5	193	124	
2	Lakshminarasimha ..	Minor..	3	58	21	
3	Hajarada Ganapati ..	do ..	3	7	7	
4	Holenarasipur Yellammadevaru.	do ..	3	6	6	
5	Do Rangnathadevar ...	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Gunja Narasimha ..	do ..	3	5	5	
7	Mavinkere Markandeswara ..	do .	3	6	6	
8	Malal Lakshmidevi ..	do ...	3	9	9	
9	Bagivalu Rameswara ..	do ..	3	26	25	
10	Kannambadi Narayana ...	do ..	3	12	12	
11	Halli Mysore Mahalingeswar ...	do ..	3	4	4	
12	Ankanathapur Ankantteswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
13	Jodi Nimmalapur Yennehole Ranganatha..	Major..	5	11	272	
14	Yelechaganahalli Eswara ..	Minor..	3	6	6	
15	Mavanur Channakesava ..	do .	3	..	8	
16	Tatanahalli Lakshmidevi	do ..	3	..	6	
17	Devaramuddenahalli Channigaraya	do ..	3	..	6	
18	Doddahalli Basaveswara .	do ..	3	..	5	
19	Kattahalli Kattammadevar ..	do ...	3	..	3	
20	Marasettihalli Byredevar ..	do ..	3	...	3	
	Total Hole-Narsipur Taluk ..			353	538	
	CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK					
1	Sravana Belgola Mutt ...	Major.	5	324	324	
2	Dindagur Malleswara and Kesava	Minor..	3	11	11	
3	Hebbalalu Kesavadevaru .	do ..	3	4	4	
4	Bukadabetta Rangaswami ..	do ..	3	5	4	
5	Navile Nageswaradevar ..	do ..	3	19	24	
6	Karehalli Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	8	7	
7	Kalkere Ammadevar ..	do ..	3	4	4	
8	Jambur Jakkeswara ..	do ..	3	8	7	
9	Bilagata Kambada Narasimha.	do ..	3	6	6	

No. 146-7- Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Hassan District—*concld*

Serial number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Channarayapatna Taluk. *concld*		Percent	Rs.	Rs.	
10	Chikkonahalli Guddada Ranganatha	Minor..	3	5	5	
11	Kambalu Narasimhadevar ..	do ..	3	6	6	
12	Sagatavalli Lakshminarayana ..	do .	3	5	4	
13	Baragur Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	12	13	
14	Channarayapatna Subba Rao Kolada Anjaneya ..	do .	3	20	4	
15	Dodgani Hole Lingeswara ..	do .	3	7	7	
16	Hirisave Chowdeswari ..	do ..	3	5	5	
17	Do Kesavadevar ..	do ..	3	5	6	
18	Gollarahosahalli Arkeswara ..	do ..	3	5	4	
19	Channarayapatna Rameswara ..	do ..	3	10	11	
20	Nuggihalli Lakshminarasimha ..	Major.	5	36	51	
21	Pura Veerabhadradevar ..	Minor..	3	..	12	
22	Maragur Sambulingeswara ..	do ..	3	..	19	
23	Bekka Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	7	
24	Attihalli Malleswara ..	do ...	3	..	7	
	Total Channarayapatna Taluk ..			505	552	
	BELUR TALUK.					
1	Belur Channakesavaswami ..	Major.	5	273	266	
2	Intitolalu Veerabhadra ..	Minor...	3	8	6	
3	Kodihalli Ranganathadevar ..	do ..	3	6	8	
4	Halebid Hoysaleswara ..	do ..	3	16	5	
5	Muttagane Malleswara ..	do ..	3	..	3	
6	Suggalur Deveeramma ..	do ..	3	..	3	
7	Bennur Beeredevar ..	do ..	3	..	11	
8	Halebid Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	..	3	
9	Bommenahalli Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	5	
10	Tumbadevanahalli Channakesava	do ..	3	..	5	
	Total Belur Taluk ..			303	315	
	ABSTRACT					
	Hassan			143	193	
	Alur			66	80	
	Arsikere			262	238	
	Arkalgud			162	153	
	Hole-Narasipur ...			353	538	
	Channaraypatna ..			505	552	
	Belur . ..			303	315	
	Manjarabad			178	256	
				1,972	2,325	

No. 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from institutions whose scals have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Shimoga District.

Serial number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous trinnium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	SHIMOGA TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Kudli Bhavani Shakara ..	Minor..	3	4	3	
2	Shimoga Lakshmi Narayanaswami	do ..	3	19	18	
3	Bhadravati Lakshmi Narasimhaswami	do ..	3	27	27	
4	Shimoga Kote Anjaneyaswami..	do ..	3	14	13	
5	Shimoga Bheemeswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Arabilchi Malikarjuna Devaru and Veerabhadra Devaru ..	do ..	3	5	5	
7	Shimoga Arkeswaraswami ..	Minor..	6¼	10	10	
8	Kudli Rameswara ...	do ..	3	9	9	
9	Kudli Chintamani Narasimhaswami	do ..	3	8	8	
10	Horakere phani Veerabhadra Devaru	do ..	3	..	12	
11	Malogoppada Chennabasaveswar	do ..	3	..	5	
12	Basapur Navile Basavanna ..	do ..	3	..	13	
13	Gopalada Adi Rangaswami ..	do ..	3	..	8	
14	Holehonnur Janardhanaswami..	do ..	3	..	3	
15	Tirupalayya's Charities ...	do ..	6¼	..	93	
	Total Shimoga Taluk ..			101	232	
	KUMSI SUB TALUK.					
1	Kumsi Veerabhadra Devaru ..	do ..	3	8	8	
2	Kumsi Venkataramana Devaru and Kille Hanumanta Devaru	do ..	3	7	7	
3	Kumsi Maley Shankara Devaru	do ..	3	19	18	
4	Benavalli Veerabhadra Devaru..	do ..	3	4	9	
5	Dyavinakere Siddeswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Harnahalli Mukhya Prana Devaru	do ...	3	4	4	
7	Harnahalli Narayana Devaru Tirumala Devaru and Hanumantha Devaru ...	do ..	3	..	7	
8	Harnahalli Gopala Krishna Devaru	do ..	3	...	4	
	Total ...			47	62	
	HONNALI TALUK.					
1	Honnali Sri Raghavendraswamigala Brindavanam.	Major	5	66	66	
2	Do Sarvagnarajara Matt ..	Minor	3	13	14	
3	Do Neelakanteswaraswami.	do ..	do	8	10	
4	Do Kote Mallikarjuneswara.	do ..	do	9	9	
5	Do Diddibagilu Hanumanta Devaru.	do ..	do	9	10	
6	Do Byla Basavanna ...	do ..	do	6	6	

No. 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Hassan District—*concld*

Serial number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous trinnium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Channarayapatna Taluk. *concld.*		Percent.	Rs.	Rs.	
10	Chikkonahalli Guddada Ranganatha	Minor..	3	5	5	
11	Kambalu Narasimhadevar ...	do ..	3	6	6	
12	Sagatavalli Lakshminarayana ...	do ..	3	5	4	
13	Baragur Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	12	13	
14	Channarayapatna Subba Rao Kolada Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	20	4	
15	Dodgani Hole Lingeswara ...	do ..	3	7	7	
16	Hirisave Chowdeswari ..	do ..	3	5	5	
17	Do Kesavadevar ..	do ...	3	5	6	
18	Gollarahosahalli Arkeswara ..	do ...	3	5	4	
19	Channarayapatna Rameswara ..	do ..	3	10	11	
20	Nuggihalli Lakshminarasimha ..	Major..	5	36	51	
21	Pura Veerabhadradevar ..	Minor..	3	..	12	
22	Maragur Sambulingeswara ..	do ..	3	..	19	
23	Bekka Anjaneya ...	do ..	3	..	7	
24	Attihalli Malleswara ..	do ...	3	..	7	
	Total Channarayapatna Taluk ..			505	552	
	BELUR TALUK.					
1	Belur Channakesavaswami ..	Major..	5	273	266	
2	Intitolalu Veerabhadra ..	Minor...	3	8	6	
3	Kodihalli Ranganathadevar ..	do ..	3	6	8	
4	Halebid Hoysaleswara ..	do ..	3	16	5	
5	Muttagane Malleswara ..	do ..	3	..	3	
6	Suggalur Deveeramma ..	do ..	3	..	3	
7	Bennur Beeredevar ..	do ...	3	..	11	
8	Halebid Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	..	3	
9	Bommenahalli Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	5	
10	Tumbadevanahalli Channakesava	do ..	3	..	5	
	Total Belur Taluk ..			303	315	
	ABSTRACT					
	Hassan			143	193	
	Alur			66	80	
	Arsikere			262	238	
	Arkalgud			162	153	
	Hole-Narasipur ...			353	538	
	Channaraypatna ..			505	552	
	Belur			303	315	
	Manjarabad			178	256	
				1,972	2,325	

No. 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from institutions whose scals have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Shimoga District.

Serial number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous trimnium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
	SHIMOGA TALUK.					
1	Kudli Bhavani Shakara ..	Minor..	3	4	3	
2	Shimoga Lakshmi Narayana-swami	do ..	3	19	18	
3	Bhadravati Lakshmi Narasimha-swami	do ..	3	27	27	
4	Shimoga Kote Anjaneyaswami..	do ..	3	14	13	
5	Shimoga Bheemeswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Arabilchi Malikarjuna Devaru and Veerabhadra Devaru ..	do ..	3	5	5	
7	Shimoga Arkeswaraswami ..	Minor..	$6\frac{1}{4}$	10	10	
8	Kudli Rameswara ...	do ..	3	9	9	
9	Kudli Chintamani Narasimha-swami	do ..	3	8	8	
10	Horakere phani Veerabhadra Devaru	do ..	3	.	12	
11	Malogoppada Chennabasaveswar	do ..	3	..	5	
12	Basapur Navile Basavanna ..	do ..	3	..	13	
13	Gopalada Adi Rangaswami ..	do ..	3	..	8	
14	Holehonnur Janardhanaswami..	do ..	3	..	3	
15	Tirupalayya's Charities ...	do ..	$6\frac{1}{4}$	..	93	
	Total Shimoga Taluk ..			101	232	
	KUMSI SUB TALUK.					
1	Kumsi Veerabhadra Devaru ..	do ..	3	8	8	
2	Kumsi Venkataramana Devaru and Kille Hanumanta Devaru	do ..	3	7	7	
3	Kumsi Maley Shankara Devaru	do ..	3	19	18	
4	Benavalli Veerabhadra Devaru..	do ..	3	4	9	
5	Dyavinakere Siddeswara ..	do ..	3	5	5	
6	Harnahalli Mukhya Prana Devaru	do ...	3	4	4	
7	Harnahalli Narayana Devaru Tirumala Devaru and Hanu-mantha Devaru ...	do ..	3	..	7	
8	Harnahalli Gopala Krishna Devaru	do ..	3	...	4	
	Total ...			47	62	
	HONNALI TALUK.					
1	Honnali Sri Raghavendraswami-gala Brindavanam.	Major	5	66	66	
2	Do Sarvagnarajara Matt ..	Minor	3	13	14	
3	Do Neelakanteswaraswami.	do ..	do	8	10	
4	Do Kote Mallikarjuneswara.	do ..	do	9	9	
5	Do Diddibagilu Hanumanta Devaru.	do ..	do	9	10	
6	Do Byla Basavanna ..	do ..	do	6	6	

No. 146 7--Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A —Shimoga District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institute	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Honnali Taluk—*concld.*		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
7	Honnali Nadidande Subramanva Devaru.	Minor	3	5	5	
8	Devarahonnali Madhava Devaru	do ..	do	7	7	
9	Chelur Veerabhadra Devaru .	do ..	do	8	9	
10	Kuruvagadde Rameswara Devaru.	Major	5	42	82	
11	Balleswarada Ballesvara Devaru.	Minor	3	17	19	
12	Nyamti Veerabhadra Devaru ..	do ..	do	9	9	
13	Benakanahalli Vinayaka Devaru.	do	do	8	8	
14	Rayasimakere Veerabhadra Devaru.	do ..	do	5	5	
15	Kundur Hanumanta Devaru ..	Major	5	28	51	
16	Alakere do ..	Minor	3	6	8	
17	Masadi Khambada Narasimhswami.	do ..	do	6	6	
18	Honnali Chendramowleswara and Kille Hanumanta Devaru.	do .	do	10	12	
19	Bannikod Hammanta Devaru ..	do .	do	4	5	
20	Marikoppada Haladamma ..	do ..	do	9	9	
21	Kulambi Hanumanta Devaru ..	do ..	do	3	11	
22	Kumbalur do ..	do ..	do	6	14	
23	Beeragowdanahalli Basavanna..	do .	do	Nil	5	
	Total ..			264	380	
	SHIKARPUR TALUK.					
1	Shikarpur Hutcharagaswami ..	Major	5	111	104	
2	Togarsi Mallikarjunaswami ..	Minor	3	5	18	
3	Chikapur Horiholey Basavanna.	do .	do	5	5	
4	Tadagani Veerabhadra Devaru, Basavanna Devaru and Kalgudi Basavanna	do .	do	..	4	
5	Tadagani Hanumanta Devaru .	do ..	do	..	6	
6	Kotta Makkan ..	do ..	do	..	10	
7	Bandalike Banashankaramma ...	do ..	do	...	4	
8	Shikarpur Kasivisweswara ..	do ...	do	..	6	
	Total ..			121	157	
	SORAB TALUK.					
1	Sorab Ranganathaswami ..	Major	5	20	48	
2	Chendragutti Renukamba ..	do ..	5	91	72	
3	Manchi Hanumanta Devaru ..	Minor	3	5	5	
4	Hurli Anjaneyaswami ..	Major	5	30	60	
5	Nadahalli Rameswara ..	Minor	3	4	4	
6	Mudi Hanumanta Devaru ..	do ..	do	8	10	
7	Anavatti Rytabheswara ..	do ..	do	8	11	
8	Kuppagadde Rameswera ..	do ..	do	20	19	
9	Tavanandi Jeebi Hanumanta ..	do ..	do	4	4	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Shimoga District—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institute	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	Sorab Taluk—*concld.*		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
10	Jadekote Rameswara ..	Minor	3	5	5	
11	Chatikoppada Veerabhadra ..	do ...	do	17	17	
12	Chickkadagod Veerabhdra ..	do ..	do	..	8	
13	Tarlgadde Rameswara ..	do ..	do	.	10	
14	Hache Neelakanta Devaru ..	do ..	do	..	4	
	Total ..			212	277	
	NAGAR TALUK.					
1	Nagar Neelakanteswara ..	Major	5	50	50	
2	Do Vittal Devaru ...	Minor	3	3	4	
3	Do Gudde Venkataramana Devaru Tenkasale Venkataramana Devaru and Shikareswara Nagar Taluk.	do ..	3	3	4	
4	Kodur Shankara Devaru and Narayana Devaru.	do ...	3	4	4	
5	Hulikal Lakshmi Narasimha Devaru.	do ..	do	12	12	
6	Karagadi Karanji Vinayaka ..	do ..	do	9	9	
7	Sampekatte Shambhulingeswara.	do ..	do	10	10	
8	Humcha Bhilleswara ..	do ..	do	4	4	
9	Kadasur Nirvaneswara .	do ..	do	..	6	
	Total ..			95	103	
	SAGAR TALUK.					
1	Keladi Rameswara Devaru ..	Major	5	201	265	
2	Ikkeri Aghoreswara ..	do ..	5	49	42	
3	Sagar Kanle Devappa's Chatram.	do ..	6¼	25	37	
4	Sagar Mahaganapati ..	Minor	3	26	26	
5	Anantapur Ranganathaswami ..	do ..	do	6	6	
6	Avinahalli Veerabhadra ..	do ...	do	9	9	
7	Maratur Kalleswara ..	do ..	do	6	9	
8	Avinahalli Tirumala Devaru ..	do ..	do	4	4	
9	Wadderhalli Rameswara ..	do ..	do	14	14	
10	Kagarasu Veerabhadra ..	do	do	4	4	
11	Chimale Parswanatha ..	do ..	do	10	10	
12	Herakere do ..	do .	do	5	5	
13	Jambagar Rameswar ..	do ..	do	7	7	
14	Anantapur Gowja Gontameswara.	do ..	do	11	19	
	Total ..			377	457	
	CHANNAGIRI TALUK.		Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Channagiri Kote Ranganatha ..	Minor	3	11	13	
2	Chickkogalur Hanumantha .	do ..	3	4	4	

No. 146-7—Muz 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930,

Statement A.—Shimoga District—contd.

Serial No	Name of Institute	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be lieved	Remarks
	Channagiri Taluk—*concld.*					
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
3	Chickka Uda Hanumantha ..	Minor	3	5	8	
4	Basavapatna Venkataramana Devaru.	do ..	3	7	5	
5	Hadigere Jumma Masjid ..	do	3	4	4	
6	Nallur Hanumanta Devaru ..	do ..	3	6	8	
7	Channagiri Jumma Masjid ..	do ..	3	5	11	
8	Devarhalli Udamurdi Ranganatha.	do ..	3	16	16	
9	Muddenahalli Prana Devaru ..	do ..	3	7	7	
10	Ittige Narasimha Devaru .	do ..	3	6	6	
11	Tyavanige Hanumanta Devaru	do ..	3	..	6	
12	Honnebagi Basavanna ..	do ..	3	..	3	
13	Basavapatna Sirgiro Makkan ..	do ..	do	..	5	
14	Daginakatte Ranganatha ..	do ..	do	..	3	
15	Lingadahalli Veerabhadra ..	do ..	do	..	4	
16	Basavapatna Babadudan Darga		do	..	6	
	Total ..	..	...	71	109	
	TIRTHAHALLI TALUK.					
1	Tirthahalli Rameswaraswami ..	Major	5	133	136	
2	Agumbi Gopalakrishnaswami ..	do ..	do	134	95	
3	Mahishi Sri Aswatha Narayanaswami.	do ..	do	80	83	
4	Mrigavadha Mallikarjunaswami	do ..	do	67	84	
5	Bheemana katte Sri Munivrinda Matt	do ..	do	595	666	
6	Malige Venkataramanaswami ..	do ..	do	17	50	
7	Kasarvalli Subramanyaswami ..	Minor	3	17	18	
8	Aragakalanatha Devaru ..	do ..	do	17	20	
9	Begarvalli Ganga Visweswara ..	do ..	do	11	21	
10	Tirthahalli Madhava Devaru ..	do ..	do	12	15	
11	Megarvalli Mallikarjuna ..	do ..	do	6	9	
12	Balagar Chennakesavaswami ..	do ..	do	7	12	
13	Alase Shankara Devaru ..	do ..	do	9	9	
14	Kuruvalli Chendramowleswara and Mahaganapati.	do ..	do	15	27	
15	Nambala Gopalakrishna Devaru	do ..	do	18	18	
16	Guddekere Visweswara Devaru	do ..	do	5	5	
17	Tallarangadi Panchalingeswara	do ..	do	5	5	
18	Chickkallur Banasankari ..	do ..	do	4	4	
19	Kammardi Vinayaka Devaru ..	do ..	do	6	6	
20	Kasarvalli Someswara ..	do ..	do	16	16	
21	Hosur Mallikarjuna ..	do ..	do	8	8	
22	Sirur do ..	do ..	do	4	4	
23	Nallur do ..	do ..	do	4	6	
24	Chintamani Narasimha Devaru (Hurali).	do ..	do ..	16	23	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Shimoga District—*concld.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
	Tirthahalli Taluk—*concld.*					
25	Hunsavalli Mallikarjuna ..	Minor	3	4	4	
26	Tirthahalli Sacchidananda Narasimha Devaru.	do ..	do	6	6	
27	Marehalli Mallikarjuna Devaru	do ..	3	5	5	
28	Settigalkoppa Vyagrah Narasimha	do ..	do	8	12	
29	Samagodu Ramachendra Devaru	Major	5	..	75	
30	Koneripur Venkataramana Devaru.	Minor	3	7	5	
31	Balagar Sri Kesargutti Rameswara Devaru.	do ..	do	..	7	
32	Bhimanakatte Vastare Gangavisweswara Devaru.	do ..	do	..	9	
33	Bhimanakatte Umamaheswara..	do ..	do	..	21	
34	Kuruvalli Gadi Rameswara ..	do ..	do	..	5	
35	Kelkere Venkataramana Devaru	do ..	do	..	5	
36	Tirthahalli Sureswara Devaru ..	do ..	do	..	6	
37	Bhartipur Durga Devi ..	do .	do	..	5	
38	Kalyani Agrahar Bhuvaraha Devaru.	do ..	do	..	5	
39	Handigod Vinayaka Devaru ..	do ..	do	..	4	
40	Surli Balebyle Nallur Agrahar Venkataramana Devaru	do ..	do	..	5	
41	Hanagere Hazarath Syed Sahib's Darga.	do ..	do	..	14	
42	Bommarasayyanna Agrahar Someswara Devaru.	do ..	do	..	8	
43	Shankarapur Lakshmi Narayana	do ..	do	..	8	
44	Devaru.					
	Kalahalli Durga Devi ..	do ..	do	..	7	
	Total ..	..	.	1,236	1,556	
	ABSTRACT.					
1	Shimoga Taluk ..	..	..	101	232	
2	Kumsi Sub-Taluk ..	..	.	47	62	
8	Honnali Taluk ..	..	..	264	380	
4	Shikarpur Taluk ..	..	..	121	157	
5	Sorab Taluk ..	..	..	212	277	
6	Nagar Taluk ..	..	.	95	103	
7	Sagar Taluk ..	..	..	377	457	
8	Channagiri Taluk ..	..	..	71	109	
9	Tirthahalli Taluk ..	..	:	1,236	1,556	
	Total ..	..	..	2,524	3,333	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.

Statement showing percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from Institutions, whose scales have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Kadur District.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
	CHIKMAGALUR TALUK.					
1	Hiremagalur Kodandaramaswami	Major	5	47	52	
2	Beekanahalli Malleswara Kalleswara, etc.	Minor	3	10	11	
3	Bilikal Ranganathaswami ..	do ..	do	15	10	
4	Chikmagalur Harihareswara .	do ..	do	..	3	
5	Chikmagalur Veerabhadra Devaru.	do ..	do	6	8	
6	Kalasapur Cheluva Narayanaswamy.	do ..	do	5	3	
7	Marle Channakesavaswami .	do ..	do	7	7	
8	Anur Amriteswara and Billeswara	do ..	do	4	10	
9	Khandya Markendaswara ..	do ..	do	6	10	
10	Kalasapur Lakshmi Narayanaswami.	do ..	do	..	8	
11	Kalasapur Ranganathaswami ..	do ..	do	..	7	
12	Muttinapura Palaharaswami Matt	do ..	do	..	14	
13	Tejur Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	do	..	6	
14	Hazarath Bababudan Darga .	Major	5	..	120	
	Total ..	..	..	100	269	
	TARIKERE TALUK.					
1	Kalhatti Veerabhadra Devaru ..	Minor	3	10	8	
2	Sompur Prasanna Someswara ..	do ..	do	19	25	
3	Hadikere Chennakesava and Ranganatha.	do ..	do	..	7	
	Total ..	.	..	29	40	
	KADUR TALUK.		Per cent			
1	Kadur Sri Chennakesavadevaru.	Minor	3	8	11	
2	Pete Ganapati and Anjeneya ..	do .	3	9	20	
3	Pura Mallikarjunaswamy ..	do ..	3	10	12	
4	Sakrepatnada Sri Sakuni Ranganathaswamy.	do ..	3	20	23	
5	Birur Anjaneyaswami	do ..	3		11	
6	Hoograhalli Siddeswara ..	do ..	3	..	10	
7	Antargatte Durgamma	do .	3	..	21	
8	Hosahalli Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	..	7	
9	Singatagere Kalleswara and Anjaneya.	do ..	3	..	18	
	Total ..		.	47	133	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A—Kadur District.—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium.	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
	KOPPA TALUK.					
1	Kigga Sri Rishiga Sringeswara-swami.	Major	5	388	388	
2	Hariharpur Mutt ..	do ..	5	210	210	
3	Megur Venkataramanaswami ..	do ..	5	73	73	
4	Halmatter Lokaparameswari ..	do ..	5	..	62	
5	Bandigadi Sampige Matt .	do .	5	..	27	
6	Belavinakodagi Yelahalli Brahma	Minor..	3	..	5	
7	Byredevaru Sri Durgamma ..	...	3	..	3	
8	Hosamane Vinayaka ..	..	3	..	6	
9	Nelavagal Shankareswara ..	..	3	..	7	
	Total ..	..	..	671	781	
	NARASIMHARAJPUR TALUK.					
1	Hale Danivasa Venkataramana.	Minor	3	6	21	
2	Aduvalli Sri Varadarajaswami .	do ..	3	3	10	
3	Hebbe Bhavani Shankar ..	do ..	3	5	11	
4	Narasimharajpur Singanagadde Jain Basthi Mutt.	..	3	..	58	
5	Bale Patala Narasimha ..	..	3	..	8	
	Total ...	..	..	14	108	
	MUDGERE TALUK					
1	Sri Kalaseswaraswami ..	Major	5	725	725	
2	Banakal Kalleswara ..	Minor	3	7	6	
3	Phalguni Kalanatha ..	do ..	3	12	6	
4	Mavinakere Basti Chendranatha	do ..	3	6	6	
5	Yedur Nagalamma ..	do ..	3	4	4	
6	Devavrinda Rameswara ..	do ..	3	15	15	
7	Gonibid Agrahar Subramanya . .	do ..	3	..	19	
8	Kelagod Karlanatha ..	do ..	3	..	5	
9	Horanadu Hanumantadevaru ..	do ..	3	..	3	
	Total .	..	.	769	789	
	ABSTRACT.					
1	Chikmagalur Taluk ..	..	..	100	269	
2	Tarikere Taluk ..	.	..	29	40	
3	Kadur ,, ..	..	..	47	133	
4	Koppa ,, .	.	..	671	781	
5	Narasimharajur Sub-Taluk ..	..	..	14	108	
6	Mudgere Taluk ..	..	.	769	789	
	Total ..	..	..	1,630	2,120	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing per centage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund to be recovered from Institutions whose scales have been sanctioned by competent authority in the Chitaldrug District.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
	CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.			Rs	Rs.	
	CHITALDRUG TALUK.		Per cent			
1	Kelakote Chennakesavaswami ..	Minor	3	10	10	
2	Do Venkataramanaswami.	do ...	3	6	6	
3	Ckikkondanahalli Anjaneyaswami	do ..	3	5	5	
4	Suvrisli Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	6¼	9	10	
5	Belaghattada Gangadhareswara	do ..	3	..	14	
6	Alaghatta Ranganatha ..	do ..	3	..	3	
	Total ..	..	...	30	48	
	DAVANGERE TALUK.					
1	Davangere Anjaneyaswami ..	do ..	3	4	6	
2	Kadlabal do ..	do ..	3	7	11	
3	Dodbathi Siddeswaradevaru ..	do	3	4	8	
4	Neerthadi Ranganathaswami ..	do ..	3	3	4	
5	Malalkere Pranadevaru ..	do ..	3	..	8	
	Total ..	..	...	18	37	
	HARIHAR SUB-TALUK.					
1	Harihar Hariharesvara ..	Major	5	98	97	
2	Komarnahalli Ranganathaswami	do ..	5	71	71	
3	Seiapur Hanumantadevaru ..	Minor	3	5	3	
4	Malebennur Kasiviswanatha and Hanumantha.	do ..	3	..	4	
	Total ..	..	..	174	175	
	JAGALUR TALUK.		Per cent			
1	Jagalur Prana Devaru ..	Minor	3	6	6	
2	Sokke Hanumanta Devaru ..	do ..	3	11	10	
3	Bilchod Hanumanta Devaru and Keshava Devaru	do ..	3	3	20	
4	Kalledevarapura Kalleswara ..	do .	3	5	5	
5	Basavanakote Hanumanta Devaru.	do ..	3	..	4	
6	Terahalli Ranganatha Devaru ..	do ..	3	..	3	
	Total ..	..	..	25	48	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

Statement A.—Chitaldrug District—*contd.*

Serial Number	Name of Institution	Class	Rate per cent	Contribution per year during the previous triennium	Contribution to be levied	Remarks
			Per cent	Rs.	Rs.	
	HOSADURGA TALUK.					
1	Bagur Chennakesavaswami ..	Minor	3	5	16	
2	Gavi Rangapur Ranganathaswami.	do ..	3	11	11	
3	Devapurada Holarameswara ..	do ..	3	8	10	
4	Do Kereyagalamma ..	do ..	3	6	12	
	Total ..	..	..	30	49	
	HOLALKERE TALUK.					
1	Horakere Devapur Lakshminarasimha swami.	Minor	3	20	20	
2	Holalkere Venugopalaswami ..	do ..	3	5	5	
3	Chitrahalli Prana Devaru ..	do ..	3	..	9	
4	Dogganahal Anjaneya ..	do ..	3	..	5	
	Total ..	..	..	25	39	
	CHALLAKERE TALUK.					
1	Chellakere Lakshmi Narayana..	Minor	3	9	9	
2	Nayakanahatti Tipperudraswami.	Major	5	45	41	
3	Parusurampur Viswanatha ..	Minor	3	7	10	
	Total ..	..	..	61	60	
	HIRIYUR TALUK.					
1	Sri Tyaranalleswaraswami ..	Minor	3	30	24	
2	Voddikere Kalabhirava ..	do ..	3	5	5	
	Total ..	..	..	35	29	
	MOLAKALMURU TALUK.					
1	Bommaghatta Prana Devaru ..	Minor	3	12	17	
2	Ramsagar Jettangi Rameswara,	Major	5	25	58	
3	Bommagondanakere Prana Devaru,	Minor	3	9	4	
4	Sirekola Sri Raghavendraswami Matt,	do ..	3	4	5	
	Total ..	..	..	50	84	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	District	Total	Name of Institution	Average based on the actuals of '26-27' 27-28' and '28-29'	C. B. on 30th June 1929	Percentage contribution to be levied	Remarks
				Rs.	Rs. a. p	Rs.	
3	Tumkur ..	Tumkur ..	Kesarmadu Darga ..	335	2,477 8 4	10	
		Kunigal ..	Mr. C D. Ramaswamaih's Choultry.	122	403 2 8	4	
		Gubbi ..	Chennabasava Samadhi..	293	1,164 9 8	9	
			Total Tumkur District ..	..	...	23	
4	Mysore ..	Mysore ..	(Igomia) Bade Makkan.	444	151 10 7	13	
		Mandya ..	Honnalgere Narayana ..	234	592 8 6	7	
			Honnalkere Venkatara-mana	123	198 7 4	4	
			Guttarlu Gopalaswami ..	100	114 8 10	3	
			Dudda Lakshminarasimha.	271	12 14 6	8	
			Total ..	..	...	22	
		Krishnaraja-pete.	Madapur Rameswara ..	106	1,291 1 2	3	
			Appenahalli Veerabhadra.	103	27 8 3	3	
			Total ..	..	..	6	
		Yedatore ..	Katte Basappa's Charities	172	1,625 7 9	5	
			Hadya Anjaneyaswami ..	206	293 12 4	6	
			Total ..	..	...	11	
		Gundlupet	Himavat Gopalaswami ..	342	1,261 6 8	10	
			Total Mysore District ..	..	..	62	
5	Hassan .	Arsikere ..	Garadangere Anjaneya etc.	497	1,217 2 1	15	
			Total Hassan District ..	..	..	15	
6	Shimoga..	Sorab ..	Endihalli Siddeswara ..	155	752 13 4	5	
			Kubatur Narasimha ..	151	11 7 0	5	
			Total ..	..	..	10	
		Shimoga ..	Kudli Brahmeswara ..	1,424	2,238 9 0	71	
			Pillangeri Venkataramana	1,484	1,899 8 11	74	
			Total ..	..	..	145	
		Channagiri	Basavapatna Hanumantha.	186	812 11 7	5	
			Total Shimoga District ..	..	..	160	
7	Kadur ..	Chikmagalur	Vastare Padmavatiamma.	170	295 1 6	5	
			Eswarahalli Lakshminara-yana.	318	304 9 9	10	

No. 146-7—Muz. 222-29-7, dated 15—16th July 1930.

STATEMENT B.—*concld.*

Serial No.	District	Total	Name of Institution	Average based on the actuals of '26-27', '27-28' and '28-29'	G. B. on 30th June 1929	Percentage contribution to be levied	Remarks
				Rs.	Rs. a p.	Rs.	
	Kadur—*contd.*		Mirle Byredevaru ..	104	1,112 10 6	3	
			Total ..	..	.	18	
		Narasimharajpur.	Yedehalli Brahma ..	150	706 2 1	5	
			Total Kadur District ..	..	..	23	
8	Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug.	Turuvanur Balagathi Pranadevaru.	448	983 1 6	13	
		Hosadurga.	Nerlakere Dasaratha Rameswara.	313	2,113 6 3	10	
		Davangere	Hadadi Hanumantha ..	123	667 3 4	4	
		Harihar ..	Amaravati Hanumantha.	238	498 10 8	7	
			Dhulihole Rameswara ..	413	1,406 6 0	13	
			Vasana Hanumantha and Kalleswara.	312	1,346 12 4	9	
			Total ..	..	..	29	
			Total Chitaldrug District.	..	..	56	

ABSTRACT.

		Rs
1.	Bangalore District ..	213
2.	Kolar do ..	8
3.	Tumkur do ..	23
4.	Mysore do ..	62
5.	Hassan do ..	15
6.	Shimoga do ..	160
7.	Kudur do ..	23
8.	Chitaldrug do ..	56
	Grand Total	560